An Extension of Some Common Fixed Point Results for Contractive Mappings in Cone Metric Spaces

*Kaushik Das¹, Surendra Kumar Tiwari²

¹Department of Mathematics, Gobardanga Hindu College, Habra, West Bangal- India ²Department of Mathematics, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur (C.G.)-India Corresponding Author: *Kaushik Das

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to obtain existence of common fixed point theorem for some contractive mapping in the setting of cone metric spaces. Our results generalize and extends, unify some well known results of [1].

Key Words: Cone metric spaces, contractive mappings, common fixed point, fixed point, non- normal cone.

Date of Submission: 17-07-2017	Date of acceptance: 20-07-2017

I. Introduction

Fixed point theory is one of the most dynamic research subjects in non-linear analysis. The theory itself is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology and geometry. Over the last years or so the theory of fixed points has been revealed as a very powerful and important tool in the study of non-linear phenomena. In this area, the first important and significant result was proved by Banach [27] in 1922 for a contraction mapping in a complete metric space. The well known Banach contraction theorems may be stated as follows:

"Every contraction mapping of a complete metric space in X into itself has a unique fixed point."

Since then, this principle has been extended and generalized in several ways either by using the contractive condition or by imposing some additional conditions on an ambient space. This principle is one of the cornerstones in the development of fixed point theory. From inspiration of this work, several mathematicians heavily studied this field.

Recently, the real number's as the co-domain of a metric, by an ordered Banach space obtain a generalized metric space, called a cone metric space, was introduced by Huang and Zhang [4]. The described the convergence in cone metric space, introduced their completeness, and proved some fixed point theorem for contractive mapping on cone metric space. The initial work of Huang and Zhang[4] inspired many authors to prove fixed point theorems as well as common fixed point theorems for two or more mappings on cone metric space see for instance[13,14]. After wards, many authors have generalized the results of [4] and studied the existence of common fixed point of a pair of self mapping in the frame work of normal cone metric space, see for instance [1],[2], [3], [5], [6] [7] [8], [9] to [16]. Recently, Morals and Rojas [17], [18] have extended the concept T-contraction mappings to cone metric space by proving fixed point theorems for T- Kannan – Zamfirescu. T-weak contraction mappings. S. Moradi in [19] introduced the T- Kannan contractive mapping which extends the well known Kannan fixed point theorem given in [20, 21, and 22]. The results [3] and [19] very recently generalized by [23], [24] and [26].

Many authors have established and extended different type s of contractive mappings in cone metric spaces see for instances [1], [4], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [38] and the author [37] have also proved fixed point in cone metric space for generalized contractive mappings. In sequel, S.K.Tiwari and R.P. Dubey [1] obtain unique fixed point results in cone metric spaces which are generalized results are [4], [37]. In this paper is to extend and improve common fixed point theorem for this mappings in cone metric spaces with non normal cone conditions. Our results extend and generalized the respective theorem 2.1 of [1].

II. Preliminary Notes

First, we recall some standard notations and definitions in cone metric spaces with some of their properties [4]. **Definition: 2.1** [4] Let E be a real Banach space and P be a subset of E. P is called a cone if and only if :

(i) P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{0\}$,

(ii) $ax + by \in P$ for all $x, y \in P$ and non-negative real number a, b;

(iii) $x \in P \text{ and } - x \in P \Longrightarrow x = 0 \Leftrightarrow P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq on E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P$. We shall write $x \ll y$ if $y - x \in intP$, int P denotes the interior of P. The cone P is called normal if there is a number K > 0 such that for all $x, y \in E$,

 $0 \le x \le y$ implies $||x|| \le k ||y||$.

The least positive number k satisfying the above is called the normal constant of P.

Definition: 2.2[4] Let x be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \longrightarrow E$ satisfies

(i) 0 < d(x, y) for all $x, y \in X$ and (x, y) = 0 if f = x = y

(ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$

(iii) $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

Then d is called a cone metric on X, and (X,d) is called a cone metric space [27]. The concept of cone metric space is more general than that of a metric space.

Example 2.3[4] Let $E = R^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \ge 0\}$, X = R and $d: X \times X \to E$ defined by d(x, y) = (|x - x|) $y \mid \alpha \mid x - y \mid$), where $\alpha \ge 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition: 2.4 [4] Let(X, d) be a cone metric space, $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ a sequence in X. then,

 $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ Converges to x whenever for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is a natural number N such (i) that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n \ge N$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \to x$, $(n \to \infty)$

(ii) $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$, there is a natural number N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n. m \ge N$.

(iii) (X,d) is called a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent

Lemma: 2.5 [30] Let E be a topological vector space. If $c_n \in E$ and $c_n \to 0$, then for each $c \in int(P)$ there exist N such that $c_n \ll c$ for all n > N

III. Main Results.

In this section we shall prove unique common fixed point results for contractive mappings in complete cone metric spaces by using non- normal cone.

Theorem3.1: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and suppose the mapping $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ satisfy the contractive condition,

 $d(T_1x, T_2y) \le a_1d(x, y) + a_2d(T_1x, x) + a_3d(T_2y, y) + a_4d(T_1x, y) + a_5d(T_2y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are all non negative constants such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ 1. Then T₁ and T₂ have a unique common fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{T_1^{2n+1}x_0\}$ and $\{T_2^{2n+2}x_0\}$ converge to the common fixed point. Moreover, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 , and conversely.

Proof: For each $x_0 \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, set $x_1 = T_1 x_0$ and $x_{2n+1} = T_1 x_{2n} = T_1^{2n+1} x_0$, for all $n \in N$, n = 0, 1, 2...

Similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+2} &= T_2 x_{2n} = T_2^{2n+2} x_0, \text{ for all } n \in N. n = 0, 1, 2 \dots \dots \dots \\ \text{Let, } x &= x_{2n} \text{ and } y = x_{2n-1} \text{ in } (3.1) \\ \text{Then we have} \\ d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) &= d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) + a_4 d(T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_5 d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}) + a_5 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_4 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq (a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq (a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 +$$

Hence.

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \le h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \le h^3 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}) \le \dots \dots \dots \dots \le h^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. for > m, we have,

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \le d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m})$$

$$\leq h^{2n} d(x_1, x_0) + h^{2n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots \dots + h^{2m-1} d(x_1, x_0) \leq \frac{h^{2m}}{1-h} d(x_1, x_0) \to 0$$

Hence,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) = 0$ by (ii). Applying lemma 2.5, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a positive integer N_1 , such that $\frac{h^{2m}}{1-h}d(x_1, x_0) \ll c$, for all $m \ge N_1$. Thus $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \ll c$, for n > m. Therefore $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence $\inf_{n \in X} (X, d)$. Since (X, d) be a complete cone metric space, there exist $x^* \in X$ such that $x_{2n} \to x^*$. Now choose a positive integer N_2 such that $d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll 1$ $\frac{\sigma}{c}$ c, where $1 - (a_2 + a_4)$, for all $n \ge N_2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq d(T_1x^*, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &= d(T_1x^*, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) + a_4d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) \\ &+ a_5d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_4d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) \\ &+ a_5d(x_{2n}, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3[d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)] \\ &+ a_4[d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1x^*, x^*)] + a_5d(x_{2n}, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq \frac{(a_1+a_3+a_4)}{1-(a_2+a_4)}d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + [1 + \frac{a_3+a_5}{1-(a_2+a_4)}]d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma}[\frac{3\sigma}{6}c + \frac{3\sigma}{6}c] \\ &= c, \text{ for all } n \geq N_2. \end{aligned}$$
Thus, $(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, for all $m \geq 1$, so, $\frac{c}{m} - d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$. But $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$.

Therefore $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P = 0$ and $T_1x^* = x^*$. So, x^* is a fixed point of T_1 .

Now we will show that x^* is also fixed point of T_2 :

$$d(x^*, T_2x^*) \leq d(T_1x^*, T_2x^*)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(x^*, x^*) + a_2 d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + a_3 d(T_2 x^*, x^*) + a_4 d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + a_5 d(T_2 x^*, x^*)$$

$$\leq (a_2 + a_5) d(T_2 x^*, x^*)$$

Which, using the definition of partial ordering on E and properties of cone P, gives that $d(x^*, T_2x^*) = 0$, and $x^* = T_2 x^*$. Conversely, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 . That means, $T_1 x^* = x^* = T_2 x^*$. Thus x^* is common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

To prove uniqueness, let us suppose that, if y^* is another fixed point of and T_2 . Then $d(x^*, y^*) \le d(T_1x^*, T_2y^*)$

$$d_{1}a_{1}d(x^{*}, y^{*}) + a_{2} d(T_{1}x^{*}, x^{*}) + a_{3}d(T_{2}y^{*}, y^{*}) + a_{4} d(T_{1}x^{*}, y^{*}) + a_{5} d(T_{2}y^{*}, x^{*})$$

 $\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5)d(x^*, y^*)$ Hence $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$ and so, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore x^* is a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes the proof.

Example 3.1: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$.Let d be the cone metric for X determined by

 $d(1,2) = 1, d(2,3) = \frac{4}{7}, d(1,3) = \frac{5}{7}.$ Let $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ be the function on (X, d) such that $T_1(1) = T_1(2) = T_1(3) = 1;$ $T_2(1) = T_2(3) = 1, T_2(2) = 3.$

Let $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = 0$, $a_4 = \frac{5}{7}$, $a_5 = 0$. Then the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied. However, no negative real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5 can be chosen such that

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_5 < 1 \text{ and for } x, y \in X,$$

$$d(T_1x, T_2y) \le a_1d(x, y) + a_2d(T_1x, x) + a_3d(T_2y, y) + a_4d(T_1x, y) + a_5d(T_2y, x).$$
 For if there exist

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, \text{ then}$$

$$d(T_1(3), T_2(2)) \le a_1d(3,2) + a_2d(T_1(3),3) + a_3d(T_2(2),2) + a_5d(T_2(2),3).$$
So,

$$\frac{5}{7} \le \frac{4a_1}{7} + \frac{5a_2}{7} + \frac{4a_5}{7} \le \frac{5}{7} (a_1 + a_2 + a_5) \le \frac{5}{7}, \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ be a self mappings satisfies the condition.

 $d(T_1x, T_2y) \le a_1d(x, y) + a_2d(T_1x, x) + a_3d(T_2y, y) + a_4[d(T_1x, y) + d(T_2y, x)]$

such

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are all non negative constants such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ 1. Then T₁ and T₂ have a unique common fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{T_1^{2n+1}x_0\}$ and $\{T_2^{2n+2}x_0\}$ converge to the common fixed point. Moreover, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 , and conversely.

Proof: For each $x_0 \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, set $x_1 = T_1 x_0$ and $x_{2n+1} = T_1 x_{2n} = T_1^{2n+1} x_0$, for all $n \in N$, n = 0, 1, 2... Similarly, $x_{2n+2} = T_2 x_{2n} = T_2^{2n+2} x_0$, for all $n \in N$. $n = 0,1,2 \dots$ Let, $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (3.2) Then we have, $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1})$ $\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})$ + $a_4[d(T_1x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_2x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})]$ $\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ + $a_4[d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n})]$ $\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ $+ a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1})$ $\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ + $a_4[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]$ $\leq (a_2 + a_4)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ $(1 - a_2 - a_4)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_4}{1 - a_2 - a_4}d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ This implies $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ $= hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$, where, $h = \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_4}{1 - a_2 - a_4} < 1$ Similarly, we obtain,

 $d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) \le hd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$ Hence, $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \le h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \le h^3 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}) \le \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \le h^n d(x_1, x_0)$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) &\leq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots \dots + d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) \\ &\leq h^{2n} d(x_1, x_0) + h^{2n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots \dots + h^{2m-1} d(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq \frac{h^{2m}}{1-h} d(x_1, x_0) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) = 0$. By applying lemma 2.5, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X

Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a positive integer N_1 , such that $\frac{h^{2m}}{1-h}d(x_1, x_0) \ll c$, for all $m \ge N_1$. Thus $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \ll c$, for n > m. Therefore $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Since (X, d) be a complete cone metric space, there exist $x^* \in X$ such that $x_{2n} \to x^*$. Now choose a positive integer N_2 such that $d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll x^*$ $\frac{\sigma}{c}$ c, where $1 - (a_2 + a_4)$, for all $n \ge N_2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq d(T_1x^*, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &= d(T_1x^*, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3 d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &+ a_4 [d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x^*)] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &+ a_4 [d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(T_1x^*, x^*) + a_3 [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)] \\ &+ a_4 [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1x^*, x^*)] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\quad d(T_1x^*, x^*) \leq \frac{(a_1+a_3+a_4)}{1-(a_2+a_4)} d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + [1 + \frac{a_3}{1-(a_2+a_4)}] d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} [\frac{3\sigma}{6} c + \frac{3\sigma}{6} c] \\ &= c, \text{ for all } n \geq N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, for all $m \ge 1$, so, $\frac{c}{m} - d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$, for all $m \ge 1$ Since, $\frac{c}{m} \to 0$ (as $m \to \infty$) and P is closed, $-d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$. But $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$. Therefore $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P = 0$ and $T_1x^* = x^*$. So, x^* is a fixed point of T_1 . Now we will show that x^* is also fixed point of T_2 :

 $d(x^*, T_2x^*) \leq d(T_1x^*, T_2x^*)$

 $\leq a_1 d(x^*, x^*) + a_2 d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + a_3 d(T_2 x^*, x^*) + a_4 [d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + d(T_2 x^*, x^*)]$ $\leq (a_3 + a_4) d(T_2 x^*, x^*)$

Which, using the definition of partial ordering on E and properties of cone P, gives that $d(x^*, T_2x^*) = 0$, and $x^* = T_2x^*$. Conversely, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 . That means, $T_1x^* = x^* = T_2x^*$. Thus x^* is common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

To prove uniqueness, let us suppose that, if y^* is another fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . $d(x^*, y^*) = d(T_1x^*, T_2y^*)$

 $\leq a_1 d(x^*, y^*) + a_2 d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + a_3 d(T_2 y^*, y^*) + a_4 [d(T_1 x^*, y^*) + d(T_2 y^*, x^*)]$ Hence $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$ and so, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore x^* is a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ be a self mappings satisfies the condition.

 $d(T_1x, T_2y) \le a_1d(x, y) + a_2[d(T_1x, x) + d(T_2y, y)] + a_3[d(T_1x, y) + d(T_2y, x)]$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are all non negative constants such that $a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2

have a unique common fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{T_1^{2n+1}x_0\}$ and $\{T_2^{2n+2}x_0\}$ converge to the common fixed point. Moreover, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 , and conversely.

Proof: For each $x_0 \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, set $x_1 = T_1 x_0$ and

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1 x_{2n} = T_1^{2n+1} x_0$$
, for all $n \in N$, $n = 0, 1, 2$...

Similarly,

$$x_{2n+2} = T_2 x_{2n} = T_2^{2n+2} x_0$$
, for all $n \in N$. $n = 0, 1, 2 \dots \dots \dots = x_{2n-4}$ in (3.3)

Let,
$$x = x_{2n}$$
 and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (3.3)
Then we have,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1})
\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})]
+ a_3 [d(T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})]
\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] + a_3 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n})]
\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] + a_3 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n-1})]
\leq a_1 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] + a_3 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]
\leq (a_2 + a_3) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})
(1 - a_2 - a_3) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})
d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{1 - a_2 - a_3} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})
This implies, $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$
 $= hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$, where, $h = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{1 - a_2 - a_3} < 1$$$

Similarly, we obtain,

$$d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) \le hd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$$

Hence,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \le h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \le h^3 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}) \le \dots \dots \dots \le h^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \le d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots \dots + d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m})$$

$$\le h^{2n} d(x_1, x_0) + h^{2n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots \dots + h^{2m-1} d(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\le \frac{h^{2m}}{1-h} d(x_1, x_0) \to 0$$

Hence,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) = 0$. By applying lemma 2.5, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X

Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a positive integer N_1 , such that $\frac{h^{2m}}{1-h}d(x_1, x_0) \ll c$, for all $m \ge N_1$. Thus $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \ll c$, for n > m. Therefore $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Since (X, d) be a complete cone meric space, there exist $x^* \in X$ such that $x_{2n} \to x^*$. Now choose a positive integer N_2 such that $d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}c$, where $1 - (a_2 + a_4)$, for all $n \ge N_2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq d(T_1x^*, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &= d(T_1x^*, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ a_3 [d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_2 x_{2n-1}, x^*)] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ a_3 [d(T_1x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ a_3 [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ a_3 [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - a_{2 - a_3}} [a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + a_3 \{d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)\} \\ &+ d(x_{2n}, x^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} [a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + a_2 \{d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*)\} \\ &+ a_3 \{d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \} + d(x_{2n}, x^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} [(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + \{1 + (a_2 + a_3) d(x_{2n}, x^*)\}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} [\frac{3\sigma}{\sigma} c + \frac{3\sigma}{\sigma} c = c \text{ for all } n \geq N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, for all $m \ge 1$, so, $\frac{c}{m} - d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$, for all $m \ge 1$ Since, $\frac{c}{m} \to 0$ (as $m \to \infty$) and P is closed, $-d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$. But $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$. Therefore $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P = 0$ and $T_1x^* = x^*$. So, x^* is a fixed point of T_1

Now we will show that x^* is also fixed point of T_2 :

$$d(x^*, T_2x^*) \le d(T_1x^*, T_2x^*) \\ \le a_1 d(x^*, x^*) + a_2 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(T_2x^*, x^*)] + a_3 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(T_2x^*, x^*)] \\ \le (a_1 + a_2) d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(T_2x^*, x^*)] + a_3 [d(T_1x^*, x^*) + d(T_2x^*, x^*)]$$

$$\leq (a_3 + a_4) a(I_2x, x)$$
.
Which, using the definition of partial ordering on E and properties of cone P, gives
and $x^* = T_x x^*$ Conversely, any fixed point of T is the fixed point of T. That is $T_x x^* = x^*$

that $d(x^*, T_2x^*) = 0$, = $T_2 x^*$. Conversely, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 . That is $T_1 x^* = x^* = T_2 x^*$. Thus x^* is and x^* common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

To prove uniqueness, let us suppose that, if y^* is another fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . $d(x^*, y^*) = d(T_1x^*, T_2y^*)$

$$\leq a_1 d(x^*, y^*) + a_2 [d(T_1 x^*, x^*) + d(T_2 y^*, y^*)] + a_3 [d(T_1 x^*, y^*) + d(T_2 y^*, x^*)]$$

$$\leq (a_1 + 2a_3) d(x^*, y^*).$$

Hence $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$ and so, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore x^* is a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes the proof.

Remarks 3.4.

- If we put $a_1 = k \& a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. Then we get the result of (1)theorem 2.1 of Dubey et al.[38].
- If we put $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = a_3 = k \& a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in theorems 3.1, 3.2 and also put $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = k$, $a_3 = 0$ (2)in theorem 3.3. Then we get the result of theorem 2.3 of Dubey et al. [38].
- If putting $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ & $a_4 = a_5 = k$ in theorems 3.1 and $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and $a_4 = k$ in (3) theorem 3.2 and also put $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = k$ in theorem 3.3, we get the result of theorem 2.4 of Dubey et al.[38].

Respectively in it,

Precisely, theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 are synthesizes and generalizes all the results of [38] for a contractive mappings in cone metric spaces by using normality of cone.

Theorem 3.5: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ be a self mappings satisfies the condition.

 $d(T_1x, T_2y) \le \alpha[d(x, y) + d(x, T_1x) + d(x, T_2y)] + \beta[d(y, T_1x) + d(y, T_2y) + d(T_1x, T_2y)]$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ are all non negative constants such that $3\alpha + 3\beta < 1$. Then T₁ and T₂ have a unique common fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{T_1^{2n+1}x_0\}$ and $\{T_2^{2n+2}x_0\}$ converge to the common fixed point. Moreover, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 , and conversely.

,Proof: For each $x_0 \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, set $x_1 = T_1 x_0$ and

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1 x_{2n} = T_1^{2n+1} x_0$$
, for all $n \in N$, $n = 0, 1, 2$...

Similarly,

Let, $x = x_{2n}$

$$x_{2n+2} = T_2 x_{2n} = T_2^{2n+2} x_0$$
, for all $n \in N$. $n = 0, 1, 2$
and $y = x_{2n-1}$ in (3.3)

Then we have,

 $\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) &= d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, T_1 x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, T_1 x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1 x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1})] \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})] \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq (\alpha + 2\beta) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (\alpha + 2\beta) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \end{aligned}$

Therefore,

 $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{1 - (\alpha + 2\beta)} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), \text{ where } h = \frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{1 - (\alpha + 2\beta)}$ This implies, $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})$ Similarly, we obtain

Similarly, we obtain,

 $d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) \le hd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$

Hence,

 $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \le hd(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \le h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \le h^3 d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-3}) \le \dots \dots \dots \le h^n d(x_1, x_0)$

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots \dots + d(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m})$$

$$\leq h^{2n} d(x_1, x_0) + h^{2n+1} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots \dots + h^{2m-1} d(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\leq \frac{h^{2m}}{1-h} d(x_1, x_0) \to 0$$

Hence,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) = 0$. By applying lemma 2.5, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X Let $0 \ll c$ be given, choose a positive integer N_1 , such that $\frac{h^{2m}}{1-h}d(x_1, x_0) \ll c$, for all $m \ge N_1$. Thus $d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \ll c$, for n > m. Therefore $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Since (X, d) be a complete cone tric space, there exist $x^* \in X$ such that $x_{2n} \to x^*$. Now choose a positive integer N_2 such that $d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}c$, where $1 - (\alpha + 2\beta)$ for all $n \ge N_2$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq d(T_1x^*, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &= d(T_1x^*, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, T_2x_{2n-1})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x^*) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(T_1x^*, T_2x_{2n-1})] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, x_{2n})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x^*) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(x^*, x_{2n})] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, x_{2n})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, x_{2n})] \\ &+ \beta [d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, x_{2n})] + d(x_{2n}, x^*) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-(\alpha+\beta)} \left[(\alpha+\beta)d(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + \{1+(\alpha+2\beta)d(x_{2n}, x^*)\} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \left[\frac{3\sigma}{\sigma}c + \frac{3\sigma}{\sigma}c = c \text{ for all } n \geq N_2. \end{split}$$
Thus, $(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, for all $m \geq 1$. So $\frac{c}{m} - d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$, for all $m \geq 1$. Since, $\frac{c}{m} \to 0$ (asm

Thus, $(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll \frac{c}{m}$, for all $m \ge 1$. So $\frac{c}{m} - d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$, for all $m \ge 1$.Since, $\frac{c}{m} \to 0$ (as $m \to \infty$) and P is closed, $-d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$.But $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P$.Therefore $d(T_1x^*, x^*) \in P = 0$ and $T_1x^* = x^*$. So, x^* is a fixed point of T_1 .

Now we will show that x^* is also fixed point of T_2 :

$$d(x^*, T_2x^*) \leq d(T_1x^*, T_2x^*)$$

$$\leq \alpha[d(x^*, x^*) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, T_2x^*)] + \beta[d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*, T_2x^*) + d(T_1x^*, T_2x^*)]$$

$$\leq (\alpha + 2\beta) d(T_2x^*, x^*).$$

Which, using the definition of partial ordering on *E* and properties of cone *P*, gives that $d(x^*, T_2x^*) = 0$, and $x^* = T_2x^*$. Conversely, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 . That is $T_1x^* = x^* = T_2x^*$. Thus x^* is common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

To prove uniqueness, let us suppose that, if y^* is another fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . $d(x^*, y^*) = d(T_1x^*, T_2y^*)$

 $\leq \alpha [d(x^*, y^*) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + d(x^*T_2y^*,)] + \beta [d(y^*, T_1x^*) + d(y^*, T_2y^*) + d(T_1x^*, T_2y^*)]$ $\leq 2(\alpha + \beta) d(x^*, y^*)$

Hence $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$ and so, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore x^* is a unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . This completes

Corollary 3.6: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and $T_1, T_2: X \to X$ be a self mappings satisfies the condition.

$$d(T_1x, T_2y) \le \alpha[d(x, y) + d(x, T_1x) + d(x, T_2y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha \ge 0$ are all non negative constants such that $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{T_1^{2n+1}x_0\}$ and $\{T_2^{2n+2}x_0\}$ converge to the common fixed point. Moreover, any fixed point of T_1 is the fixed point of T_2 , and conversely.

Proof: the proof of corollary immediately follows by putting $\beta = 0$ in the previous theorem.

IV. Conclusion

In this article we have proved that the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point theorem for contraction in cone metric spaces. The results of this paper generalize and extend the results of, S. K. Tiwari & R. P. Dubey, [1] on contractive mappings in cone metric spaces.

References

- S.K. Tiwari, R.P Dubey. An extension of the paper "Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in Cone Metric spaces", Int. J. Math. Archive, 4(6),(2013) 112-115.
- [2]. B.E. Rhoades. A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans Amer. Math. Soc., 226, (1977) 257-290.
- [3]. A. Beiranvand, O. Moradi. and H. Pazandeh, Two fixed point for special mapping "arxiv:0903. 1504 v1 [math FA], (2009).
- [4]. Huang and . Zhang. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of Contractive Mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332,(2007), 1468-1476.
- [5]. V. Vetro "Common fixed points in cone metric spaces", Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, (2) 56(3), (2007) 464-468.
- [6]. S. Radojevic "Common fixed points under contractive condition in cone metric spaces," Computer and mathematics with applications 58(6), (2009), 1273-1278.
- [7]. M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mapping Without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341, (2008), 416-420.
- [8]. M. Abbas, B. E. Rhoades., Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces", Appl. Math. Lett. 22, (2009), 512-516.
- [9]. D. Ilic, , V. Rakolevic. ,Quasi-contractive on a cone metric space, Appl. Math. Letts. 22(5), (2009) 728-731.
- [10]. G. Jungck, S. Radanovich, and V. Rakolevic, "Common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces", Fixed point theory and Applications, 1-13. (2009).
- [11]. Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenvic, and, V Rakolevic. Remarks on Quasi-contraction in cone Metric spaces, Appl. Math. Letters, doi: 10. 101 / J. and 2009.06.003. (2009).
- [12]. Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenvic, and Rosic, B. Strict contractive conditions and common fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces, Fixed point theory and Application, 1-14. (2009).
- [13]. V. Raja, and, S.M. Vezapour Some extension of Banch 's contraction principal in Completecone metric spaces, fixed point theory and applications .2008, 1-11. (2008).
- [14]. J. O. Olaleru, H. Kewe, An extension of Greggs fixed point theorem, Fixed point Theory and Applications article ID 78628, 1-8(2007).
- [15]. Sh. Rezapour, R. Hamlbarani, Some notes on paper "Cone metric spaces and Fixed Point theorems of contractive mappings" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345(2), (2008),719-724.
- [16]. S.K. Tiwari, R.P. Dubey, and A.K Dubey,) Common fixed point theorem in cone metric spaces for generalized T-Contraction maps., Int. J. Math. Archive, 4(6),(2013), 45-49.
- [17]. Morales, J. R and Rojas, E. (2010) Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems for Tkannan contractive mappings, Int. J. of . Math. Analysis 4(4) 175-184. Cone Metric Spaces and Common Fixed...107
- [18]. J.R. Morales, E. Rojas, T-Zamfirescu and T-weak contraction mappings on cone Metric spaces arxiv: 0909. 1255 V1.[Math. FA](2009).
- [19]. S. Moradi,) Kannan fixed point theorem on complete metric space and on generalized Metric space depend on another function, arxiv: 0903.1577.V1 [Math] (2009).
- [20]. Kannan, R ,Some results on fixed point, Bull.Calc. Math. Soc. 60, (1968) 71-76.
- [21]. Kannan, RSome results on fixed point-II, Amer. Bull.Calc. Math. Monthly, 76.(1969) 405-408.
- [22]. S.K. Chatterjee, "Fixed point theorems," C. R. Acad. Bulgare sci. 25(1972),727-730.
- [23]. A. K. Dubey, S. K. Tiwari and R. P. Dubey, Cone metric space and fixed point Theorems of generalized T- contractive mappings, Int. J. Mathematics and Mathematical sci. 2(1), (2013), 31-37.
- [24]. A. K. Dubey, S. K. Tiwari and R. P. Dubey, Cone metric space and fixed point Theorems of generalized T- Kannan contractive mappings, Int. J. of pure and Appl Mathematics, 84(4), (2013), 353-363.
- [25]. K.P.R, Sastry, Ch. Srinivasa Rao, Praveen, G., Sujatha, K and Bhaskar, R. V. "A fixed Point theorem in cone metric space", Int. J. of Microcircuits and Electronic, Vol. 2(2), (2011), 1-7.
- [26]. A.K. Dubey, A. Narayan, (2013) "Generalized Kannan fixed point theorems on complete Metric spaces depended an another function", south Asian journal of Mathematics, 3 (2), 119-122.
- [27]. Banach's.(1922), Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrals, fundamental mathematicae,3(7), 133-181.
- [28]. T. Abdeljawad, Completion of cone metric spaces, Hacettepe j. Math. Stat. 39(1), (2010), 67-74,
- [29]. S. H. Cho, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings on cone metric space, Int. J. Of Math. Analysis. 6(50), (2012), 2473-2481.
- [30]. S. H. Cho, J. S. Base, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued maps in cone metric space, fixed point theory and application, 2011: 87(2011).
- [31]. S. K. Tiwari, R. P. Dubey and A. K. Dubey, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems for pair of generalized contractive mappings, Int. J. Of Math. Research, 5(1) (2013, 77-85.
- [32]. T. Abdeljawad and F. Karapinar, Quasi- cone metric space and generalization of Caristi Kirk's theorem, Fixed point theory application 2009 doi; 10.1155/2009/574387.

- [33]. S. K. Tiwari, R. P. Dubey and A. K. Dubey, Common fixed point results in cone metric Spaces, Int. J. Of Math. Research, 2(3) (2013), 352-355.
- [34]. F. Kojaseh, Z. Goodarzi and A. Razani, Some fixed point theorems of integral type contraction in cone metric space, Fixed point theory and application 2010,article ID 189684, 13page,doi; 1155/20100/189648.
- [35]. S. K. Chatterjee, Fixed point theorems, C. R. Acad. Bulgare. Sci., 25 (1972) 727-730.
- [36]. Sh. Rezapour R. Hamlbarani, Some notes on the paper "cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of Contractive mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 92008) 719-724.
- [37]. A. K. Dubey, R. Shukla, R. P. Dubey, Cone metric spaces and Fixed point results of generalized contractive mappings, Advances in fixed point theory,3(3), (2013), 568-577.
- [38]. A. K. Dubey, A.Narayan, Cone metric spaces and Fixed point theorems for pair contractive mappings, Mathematica Aeterna,2(10), (2012), 839-845.

International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI) is UGC approved Journal with Sl. No. 3822, Journal no. 43302.

Kaushik Das. "An Extension of Some Common Fixed Point Results for Contractive Mappings in Cone Metric Spaces." International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI) 6.7 (2017): 07-15.