# Field cultivation of Azola: A gift to farmers for animal feed in Gramadiha Village of Ganjam Dirstrict

Dr. HimansuBhusana Panigrahy<sup>1</sup>, Sasmita Hota<sup>2</sup>, Tapan K Panda<sup>3</sup>, Sarala Prasanna Patnaik<sup>4</sup>

Gandhi Institute for Technology, Bhubaneswar <sup>4</sup>Gandhi Engineering College,BBSR,Odisha

Abstract: Azolla cultivation technology was demonstrated in ten villages through training programmeby NSS volunteers of GIFT, college and Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology people in the Khurda district of Odisha. A study was conducted to analyse the reasons for adoption and non – adoption of Azolla cultivation by the dairy farmers. About 40 per cent of total beneficiaries were included to study the adoption of Azolla cultivation. Hence, 150 samples selected randomly from 375 respondents. The study concludes that 65 per cent of them adopted cultivation of Azolla and 35 per cent not adopted the new technology for various reasons. It also reported the reason for adoption and non-adoption of Azolla Cultivation Technology in the farmers' field. Keywords: Dairy farmers, cultivation technology, adoption and non-adoption,

## I. Introduction

Shrinking grazing lands and expanding cities in India lead the dairy farmers to depend more and more on commercial cattle feed resulting in increased costs of milk production. Based on the above fact, a need analysis was conducted among dairy farmers of Khurda district of Odisha and found that, shortage of green fodders and high cost of concentrate feed were considered as significant factors which affecting milk production level. Hence, the Azolla cultivation technology is considered as an intervention to meet their dairy farmers' needs.



Azolla, an aquatic floating fern, holds promise as a nutritive supplemental feed. It is rich in crude protein (over 20 %), essential amino acids like lysine (about 1 %) and minerals but very low in carbohydrate and fat content. It is widely used as a bio-fertilizer in many rice- growing regions of the world. Azolla is responsible for nitrogen fixation. Under ideal conditions it grows exponentially, doubling its biomass in early three days. There are at least eight species of Azolla worldwide; Azolla caroliniana, Azolla circinata, Azolla japonica, Azolla mexicana, Azolla microphylla, Azolla nilotica, Azolla pinnata and Azolla rubra. The common spices of Azolla in India are Azolla pinnata. It produces more than 4 to 5 timesof protein of excellent quality in comparison to Lucerne and hybrid Napier. Beside this, the bio- mass production is almost 4 to 10 times when compared with hybrid Napier and Lucerne, respectively.

Generally, Azolla requires 25 to 50 per cent of full sunlight for its normal growth. Water is the basic requirement for the growth and multiplication of Azolla. Maintenance of adequate water level (at least 10 cm depth) is essential. In general, the optimum temperature is 20°C to 30° C. Temperatures above 37° C will seriously affect the multiplication of Azolla. The optimum relative humidity is 85 to 90 per cent. The optimum pH is 5.5 to 7. Too acidic or alkaline pH has an adverse effect on this fern. About 20 ppm of phosphorus in the water is optimum. Micronutrient application improves the multiplication and growth (VK-NARDEP, 2010). The prevailing micro-environment of Khurda District of Odisha is conducive for cultivation of Azolla and hence the project on "Popularisation of Azolla as low cost supplemental cattle feed among the dairy farmers of

Gramadiha, Khurda District" was implemented at the Department of Veterinary and Animal Husbandry of OUAT, Odisha with an objective to study the adoption of Azolla cultivation in the farmers' field.

Social scientists investigating farmers' adoption behaviour has accumulated considerable evidence showing that demographic variables, technology characteristics, information sources, knowledge, awareness, attitude and group influence affect adoption behaviour (Oladele, 2005). Therefore, all agricultural development schemes and intervention programmes in the study area should focus more on factors affecting adoption behaviour of farmers in order to encourage adoption and sustain the use of agricultural innovations (Tsado, 2008). So, it may be realized that the improvement of adoption process regarding a particular fruitful technology may empower the rural people through improving their livelihood and socio-economic situation.

## II. Material and Methods

Gramadiha village of Khurda district was purposefully selected as a study area since; OUAT is imparting various extension activities for the benefit of farmers to uplift their socio-economic status in social strata. Fifteen respondents were selected randomly among the members of NABARD Farmers Clubs in 15 villages of Khurda District. For a demo plot, the number of beneficiaries was 25 farmers from one village and constitutes a sample of 375 (15 x 25) respondents. Demonstration of Azolla cultivation including training on feeding management techniques were conducted to the selected respondents. About 40 per cent of total sample size of the project was included to study the adoption of Azolla cultivation. Accordingly, 150 samples selected randomly from 375 respondents of the project i.e. 10 respondents from each village. Data were collected by using well constructed interview schedule. The collected data were tabulated and analysed for assessing the reason for adoption and non-adoption of Azolla cultivation. The descriptive statistics like frequency, percentage and range were used for the investigation.

### **III. Result and Discussion**

The findings are presented as profile, reason for adoption and non-adoption,

## 1. Profile of therespondents

Table 1 shows the profile of the respondents and described under age, education, occupation, family size, herd size, experience in dairy farming, milk production and income through dairying and family income.

#### i. Age

About 44 per cent were middle aged whereas 33 per cent were less than 40 years and 25 per cent were above 51 years of old.

#### ii. Education

Majority (62 per cent) of the respondents had higher secondary level of education followed by secondary level at 25 percent.

# iii. Occupation

All the respondents who owned dairy cattle were selected as beneficiaries of the project. About 85 per cent has livelihood option of dairy cattle and 15 per cent had livelihood option of agriculture and animal husbandry.

### iv. Familysize

Majority of the (48 per cent%) respondents had medium sized family of 4 to 5 members and 32 per cent had large family size followed by small family size.

## v. Herd Size

About 81 per cent of the respondents had 1 to 3 dairy cattle and 19 per cent had above 4 dairy cattle.

# vi. Experience in dairyfarming

Majority of the respondents had 11 to 20 years of experience in dairy farming followed by 28 per cent of respondents had less than 10 per cent of experience in dairy farming.



## vii. Milk production

Majority i.e. 84 per cent of the respondents had 6 to 10 liters of milk production per day for a cow followed by low and high category of milk production level.

# viii. Dairy income permonth

Majority (82 per cent) of the respondents had an income of Rs. 5001 to 10,000 per month and 16 farmers had above Rs. 10000 income through dairy farming.

## ix. Familyincome

Majority (83 per cent) had annual income above Rs.10,000 to Rs. 50,000/- per annual and 17 respondents had less than Rs.10,000 per annum of income.

# 2. Category of respondents based onadoption.

The decision to apply an innovation and to continue its use is called adoption (Van den Ban and Hawkins, 1996). The process of adoption depends upon a number of factors such as the technology itself, the farmers, the extension agency and the infrastructural facilities (Roger and Shoemakers, 1971).

The present study focuses on adoption of Azolla cultivation as an alternative source of dairy cattle feed to reduce cost of milk production. The respondents were categorized into adopter

- those who were cultivating Azolla and feeding to the cattle at the time of interview; non- adopter – those who neither cultivated Azolla nor fed to their cattle (Tamizhkumaran and Rao, 2012). The reasons for different adoption behavior of the respondents were discussed below;

## 3. Reason for adoption and non-adoption

Among 150 respondents who have attended demo cum training on Azolla cultivation selected for the adoption study, 65 per cent of them adopted cultivation of Azolla and 35 per cent not adopted the new technology for various reasons . These finding were in line with the result of Tamizhkumaran and Rao (2012) who narrated that 64 per cent of the respondents had adopted cultivation of Azolla practices whereas 36 per cent did not adopted in coastal area of Villupuram district of Tamilnadu. They also observed that the 64 per cent of the adopters have discontinued the practice of cultivation of Azolla.

Reason for differential adoption behaviour of the respondents were ascertained and the same were presented below,

# i. Reason foradoption

Table 3 explained about 100 per cent adoption of Azolla cultivation due to reduction in feed cost and 66 per cent adopted as they have developed their interest after attending demo cumtraining programme on Azolla cultivation conducted in the study area and rest of them adopted due to increase in milk yield of 0.40 litre of milk per day from a cow.

# ii. Reason for non-adoption of Azollacultivation

Table 4 explained that, A total of 53 beneficiaries of the project had not cultivated Azolla even after participating in the demo cum training programme on Azolla cultivation and are classified as non-adopter of Azolla cultivation. Out of them 68 per cent of the respondents did not adopt due to maintenance problem of Azolla plot followed by 24 respondents afraid to feed Azolla to cattle and 13 respondents did not adopt as the prevailing environments are not conducive to grow Azolla.

## **IV. Conclusion**

A study on adoption of Azolla cultivation was conducted in KhurdaDistrict of Odisha where the Azolla was introduced as low cost technological intervention for dairy cattle ration under OUAT, Odisha. The respondents were included for the study and concludes that, 65 per cent of them adopted cultivation of Azolla and 35 per cent not adopted the new technology for various reasons. It also reported the reason for adoption and non-adoption of Azolla CultivationTechnology.



## References

- [1]. Dinesan, 2007. Livestock based enterprises, ideal for livelihood security, The Hindu, online edition of India's National Newspaper,
- [2]. Oladele OI. 2005. A Tobit analysis of propensity to discontinue adoption of Agricultural Technology among Farmers in South Western Nigeria. Journal of Central European Agriculture, 6 (3): 249-254.
- [3]. Rogers E M and Shoemaker F. 1971. Communication of Innovations: A cross-cultural Approach, Second Edition, New York, The
- Free Press, Collier MacMillan Publishing Co. Inc.
  Tamizhkumaran, J and Rao S.V.N. 2012. Why Cultivation of Azolla as cattle feed not sustainable? Indian Journal of Dairy Science, [4]. 65 (4): 348 -353.
- Tsado J H. 2008. Factors affecting adoption behaviour of small scale farmers in Maringa local government area of Niger state [5]. Nigeria: The case of rice farmers. Journal of Agricultural Forest Social Science, 6:2. Van den ban A W and Hawkins. 1996. Agriculture Extension, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Blackwell Science, Australia.
- [7]. VK- NARDEP. 2010. Azolla Backyard Cultivation Innovation and Ecological significance, http://vknardep.org/services/sustainableagriculture/81-Azolla-for-the-rescue.html accessed on04.05.2016.