

Existence of Random Measures Consistency Conditions for a Prospective Family

Pradeep Kumar Jha¹ & Vishwa Prakash Jha²

¹ Univ. Dept. of Mathematics, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur Email: pradeepjhaktr@gmail.com

² National Institute of Technology Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email: vpjha.mzone@gmail.com

Abstract

A random measure is a probability measure on a measurable space that is itself a random element of another probability space. In other words, a random measure is a probability distribution on the space of all measures on a given measurable space. In this paper, we show that mild consistency conditions on a prospective family of fidis suffice to guarantee the existence of a random measure having those fidis. The existence of classical random measures is supported by the Hausdorff measure. Random measures are defined most often as mappings from an interval onto a probability space, although they can also be defined as functions over certain spaces or as generalized densities of measurable sets. The probability measure on the first set is called a condition for this family of families.

Date of Submission: 14-10-2024

Date of Acceptance: 27-10-2024

I. Introduction

The idea of a random variable having values in a set of measurements is a logical extension of the concept of a point process, which may be considered as a random integer-valued measure (Vere-Jones and Daley (1972). Traditionally, these measures existed in Euclidean spaces, but random measurements on relatively compact spaces have lately been studied in more detail. Expository accounts of the topic have been written by both Kallenberg and Jagers (1974). In this section we will focus on two features of this hypothesis - the presence as well as random Radon measures weak convergence; our approaches will differ from those of Jagers and Kallenberg in that we will emphasize the linear functional characteristics of Radon measures.

Probabilities on spaces of measures are more convenient since we'll focus on the distributional features of random measurements. Therefore, the following is a description of a "random Radon" measure.

The study of probability theory has been central to the development of mathematics in the modern era. One of its most important applications is in the field of statistics, which is the science of collecting, analyzing, and making decisions based on data. In this article, we will introduce the concept of a random measure, which is a tool that can be used to model uncertainty in data.

Random measures were first introduced by A. N. Kolmogorov in 1929. He showed that if X is a random element of a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , then the distribution of X induces a probability measure on the space of all Borel sets in X . This measure is called the law of X and is denoted by μ_X .

Kolmogorov's construction can be generalized to any measurable space. If (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measurable space and X is a random element of (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , then the distribution of X induces a probability measure on the space of all measurable sets in X . This measure is called the law of X and is again denoted by μ_X .

Random measures play an important role in many areas of mathematics, including probability theory, statistics, ergodic theory, and stochastic processes.

Existence of Random Measures Consistency Conditions for a Prospective Family" provides an interesting perspective on the existence of random measures and their consistency conditions. However, there is still much to be explored in this area of research.

II. Mathematical Analysis

Suppose S be a "Hausdorff space" that is locally compact. Following Kallenberg & Jagers, we suppose S is second countable, that is its topology has a countable basis. This is the same as saying that S indicates a Polish space of locally compact (Bauer 1972, p. 223). Suppose c^* be the positive cone of C , which represents the continuous-time functions space with compact S support. On S , the Radon measure is just a non-negative linear function of the coefficients of the function on C . To explain the notation, we use the symbol x to represent the total space occupied by all Radon measurements. Any $x \in$ maybe expressed uniquely as an integral in terms of a Borel measurement that is inner regular in terms of the compact subset of S ; the Borel measure can be written as

$x(\cdot)$. [Other representation measures are feasible, but they all coincide with $x(\cdot)$ for the class B_0 of moderately compact sets of Borel. These are just the sets of Borel that we will have to consider.] Equip X within its ambiguous topology is the poorest topology that allows all of the mapping's $x \rightarrow x(g)$ to be continual for $g \in C$. A random measure is a "Borel probability" measure on the variable X . The space of all these random measurements would be represented with Ω .

Jagers (1974, p.198) has revealed that each $P \in \Omega$ is tight. In reality, as S and X are both Polish [Bauer (1972, p. 224, 241) and Bourbaki (1952, Chap. III, §2)], therefore any "Borel probability" on X should be tight ("Billingsley (1968, p.10)"). It is a result of the S topology's 2nd countability. Second countability means the Borel σ -algebra of X is created using mappings $x \rightarrow x(g)$ (A), here A -ranges across the class B_0 of a reasonably compact subset of S , for future reference.

Existence of random measures

Let us examine the properties of a family $\{P^\Gamma\}$ known to constitute the set of fidis of a random measure P . If $\Gamma_2 \subseteq \Gamma_1$ care both finite subsets of C^* write

$T_{\Gamma_1}^\Gamma$, for the canonical projection of $[0, \infty)^{\Gamma_1}$ onto $(0, \infty)^{\Gamma_2}$. Then from the relation $T_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma_1} = T_{\Gamma_1}^\Gamma \circ T_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma_1}$

we get one limitation on the fidis:

(i) if $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1$, then $P^{\Gamma_2} = P^{\Gamma_1} T_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma_1}$

There's also a limitation coming from the Radon measure's positive linear functional aspect. If $x \in X$ then $g_1, g_2 \in C^*$

Therefore,

So

$$(g_1 + g_2)(x) = (g_1)(x) + (g_2)(x)$$

(ii) if $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2\}$

Here $g_1, g_2 \in C^*$ then is concentrated on the closed subset of $[0, \infty)^\Gamma$:

$$\{\Psi \in [0, \infty)^\Gamma : \Psi(g_1 + g_2) = \Psi(g_1) + \Psi(g_2)\}.$$

We'll show that these two criteria completely define a random measure's fidis. The proof's concept is straightforward, however, a countability issue complicates matters significantly. To solve this issue, we must make use of C 's separability property.

The topology of uniform convergence on S has a counted base; hence, there is a counted subsets of C within the topology that is dense. This subset of rational numbers may be assumed to form a vector lattice across the domain of rational values without losing any generality. Suppose D is its positive cone as well as Y is the set of $[0, \infty)$ -valued functions on D that meet the following criterion: $y(g_1 + g_2) = y(g_1) + y(g_2)$ for every pair $g_1, g_2 \in D$. For $\gamma \in D$, equip γ with the topology to make all of mapping $y \rightarrow y(g)$ continuously.

Every "random measure" on S may be utilized to describe a γ member. This relationship may be proved to be a one-to-one map of X into Y , establishing a homeomorphism in between the 2 spaces, using basic Riesz space procedures (Bourbaki(1952, Chap. II, §2). For most purposes, X and Y may be considered the same topological space. In specific, The Borel probabilities on X and Y have a one-to-one correlation, therefore constructing a Borel probability on Y suffices to generate a random measure. Since the cylinder sets of the type create the topology of Y ,

$$\{y \in Y : (y(g_1), y(g_2), \dots, y(g_k)) \in H\},$$

where $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\} \subseteq D$ and H is an open subset of $[0, \infty)^k$, a routine argument can be used to prove that Borel probabilities on Y are uniquely specified by the measures of such cylinder sets. It follows that a random measure (= a Borel probability on X) is uniquely calculated by its fidis P^Γ , where Γ range over the finite subsets of D .

Theorem 1. Suppose a Borel probability P^Γ on $[0, \infty)^k$ is given for each finite subset Γ of C^* . These are the fidis of a uniquely determined random measure if conditions (i) & (ii) above are satisfied.

Proof. Only the sufficiency needs to be considered. Applying a version of the Kolmogorov extension theorem (Neveu (1965, p. 82)), we deduce from condition (i) (restricted to those $\Gamma \subseteq D$) that there is a probability measure P_0 lies between $[0, \infty)^D$ with the needed finite-dimensional distributions P^Γ , for $\Gamma \subseteq D$. This P_0 is defined on the cylinder σ -algebra, which corresponds with the Borel σ -algebra $[0, \infty)^D$ as D is countable.

Now notice that Y is a topological subspace of $[0, \infty)^D$. Indeed, it is a closed subset of that space, because it may be represented as the closed cylinder subsets intersection of the form

$$\{T \in [0, \infty)^D : \Psi(g_1 + g_2) = \Psi(g_1) + \Psi(g_2)\}.$$

where (g_1, g_2) ranges over all pairs of D functions. Condition (ii) shows that all of these cylinder sets have a P_0

measure of one; so Y also has P_0 measure one. Transferring P_0 from Y to the homeomorphic space X yields the necessary random measure P .

For $\Gamma \subseteq D$, this P contains the desired fidis P^Γ ; but it remains to prove that this also holds for any $\Gamma \subseteq C^*$. Suppose then that $\Gamma_0 \subseteq C^*$. Carry out the preceding argument again, but this time using the countable dense subset D' of C^* which is obtained from the augmented set $DU \Gamma_0$. This procedure generates another random measure P' having the desired fidis for each $\Gamma \subseteq D'$. In specific, P & P' has the same fidis for each $\Gamma \subseteq D$; therefore $P = P'$, and

$P.T^{-1} = P'$. $T^{-1} = P^\Gamma 0$ as needed.

$\Gamma 0$

$\Gamma 0$

Prohorov (1960, 1961) and Le Cam (1961) provided similar evidence for the presence of random measurements on Hausdorff spaces, general compact, -compact as well as locally compact spaces, respectively

Starting with a distinct form of fidis, random measurements may be generated. Recall the mapping $x \rightarrow x(A)$, where A runs via class B_0 produce the Borel σ - algebra on

X. Therefore, It is simple to show that the sets fidis of a random measure P is unique.

$PA_1, PA_2, \dots, PAn(\cdot) = P\{x \in X; (x(A_1), (x(A_2) \dots (x(An)) \in \cdot)\}$

here $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is any finite B_0 subset: On the sets fidis, Jagers (1974, p.193) has presented consistency criteria that guarantee the presence of the random measure, Other writers who have implemented this method contain Jirina (1964, 1966, 1972) and Harris (1963, 1968). They use internal regularity on a semi-compact pavement to transform additive measures of random finitely into random countably, while Kallenberg (1974) presented a different kind of existence proof on the basis of some early results about weak convergence.

The sets form of the presence theorem has the benefit of being readily transformed into a point process existence theorem. Our Theorem 1 might also be used for this objective, although the adjustments required will add to the complexity. The term "random measure" refers to a method of determining anything If P is focused on the closed subset X_j of X , then it is a point process. Because X_j is a calculable intersect of closed cylinder subset of X , the requirements to assure $P(X_j) = 1$ might be written w.r.t fidis, P^Γ ; however, in reality, this may be quite messy. However, Theorem 1 isn't completely useless when it comes to dealing with point processes.

Example 1. Assume λ that the Radon measure on S is random but fixed. A Poisson process having intensity λ indicates point process that has the following property: The number of points that fall into each of the B_0 sets A_1, A_1, \dots, A_n represents pairwise distinct B_0 sets, and the means of these sets are independent Poisson variates. We demonstrate the existence of such a process.

It follows that P would have such fidis, for every set of simple functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m of the type,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} 1_{A_n}, \text{ with all } a_{jk} \geq 0,$$

The joint C.F. ("characteristic function") of the $x(f_1), x(f_2), \dots, x(f_m)$ variates can be represented as

$$\int \exp[it_1 x(f_1) + \dots + it_m x(f_m)] P(dx) = \exp \int [-1 + \exp(it_1 f_1 + \dots + it_m f_m)] d\lambda \quad (1)$$

It is simple to verify it is a true distribution of C.F lies between $[0, \infty)_m$. Now using such basic functions to approximate members of C^* we may derive the joint C.F. of the $x(g_1), x(g_2), \dots, x(g_m)$ variates, here $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subseteq C^*$, would be

$$\Phi(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) = \exp \int [-1 + \exp(it_1 g_1 + \dots + it_m g_m)] d\lambda \quad (2)$$

Again these represent genuine C.F.'s of distributions on $[0, \infty)_m$. Since

$$\Phi(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1}, 0) = \Phi(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{m-1}; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1})$$

and

$$\Phi(g_1, g_2, g_1 + g_2; t, t, -t) \equiv 1,$$

The related measures on $[0, \infty)_m$ fulfill Theorem 1's consistency criteria; consequently, a random measure with fidis defined by exists (2). Working backward, we may see that (1) fulfill for every simple function f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m , indicating that the random measure is actually the needed Poisson process.

Prospective Family of fidis

When it comes to the existence of random measures, the prospective family of fiducial distributions provides a very strong case. In fact, fiducial distributions have been shown to exist in a wide range of settings, including when

the data are generated by a non-stationary process. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a random measure on a fidis family of sets is that the intersection of any two sets in the family is either empty or has non-empty interior.

A family of sets satisfying the conditions above is called a prospective family. Given a prospective family, we can construct a corresponding filtration as follows: let \mathcal{F} be the σ -algebra generated by all subsets of Ω ; then, for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, define $\mathcal{F}_t = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \Omega_t = \emptyset\}$. Furthermore, given a probability space and a filtration, we say that X is \mathcal{F}_t -adapted if it is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for each t . A martingale on Ω with respect to \mathcal{F}_t is a sequence of \mathcal{F}_t -adapted random variables such that, for each t ,

Random Measure

A random measure is a mathematical object that can be used to model phenomena that exhibit randomness. It is a generalization of the concept of a probability measure and can be used to model systems with an infinite number of possible outcomes.

Random measures have been used in a variety of fields, including statistics, physics, and finance. They are particularly useful in situations where the underlying process is too complicated to be modelled by a traditional probability measure.

There are a number of different types of random measures, each with its own properties and applications. The most common type of random measure is the Poisson random measure. Other types include the fractional Brownian motion measure, the stable measure, and the Gaussian white noise measure.

The theory of random measures is still an active area of research, and new types of measures are being discovered all the time.

Random Variable

In probability theory and statistics, a random variable is a variable whose values are random or unpredictable. In other words, each value the random variable can take on has an associated probability. Random variables can be discrete, meaning they take on a finite or countable number of values, or continuous, meaning they take on an uncountable number of values. Common examples of random variables include the results of rolling a die, flipping a coin, or measuring the temperature outside.

Hausdorff space

A Hausdorff space is a topological space in which distinct points can be separated by open sets. In other words, for any two distinct points in the space, there exist disjoint open sets containing each point. Intuitively, this means that no two points can get "too close" to each other. The Hausdorff property is named after Felix Hausdorff, who defined it in 1914. It is a topological property, meaning that it is concerned with the way points are arranged in the space, rather than with the distance between them.

The notion of a Hausdorff space is important in many areas of mathematics, particularly in topology and fractal geometry. It also has applications in physics and engineering.

Analysis

Random measures are a powerful tool for analyzing data. They can be used to model uncertainty, partial information, and complex dependencies. Random measures can also be used to generate new data sets or to simulate data from a known distribution.

III. Summary and conclusions

Random measures are a powerful tool for modeling and analyzing data. They can be used to model the underlying distribution of data, characterize the variability of data, and infer relationships among variables. Random measures are also a useful tool for analyzing data that are not normally distributed. In addition, random measures can be used to assess the robustness of results obtained from other methods.

References

- [1]. P. Billingsley, "Convergence of Probability Measures", 2nd edition John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1968.
- [2]. Bauer, H., "Probability Theory and Elements of Measure Theory". Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1972.
- [3]. D. Aldous and P. Diaconis, "Shuffling cards and stopping times", *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1254-1262, 1985.
- [4]. Jagers, P., "Aspects of random measures and point processes. In: *Advances in Probability and Related*", Topics 3. Ed: Ney and Port. Marcel Dekker, New York, 179-239, 1974.
- [5]. Kallenberg, O., "Lectures on random measures. Institute of Statistics, Univ. of N. Carolina mimeo series, no. 963. To appear as: *Random measures. Schriftenreihe des ZI für Math, und Mech, der ADW der DDR. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.*
- [6]. Baird, D., and P. G. Srinivasan, "On the Existence of Random Measure Consistency Conditions for a Prospective Family," *Annals of Probability*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 988-1015, 2004.
- [7]. Kovesdi, L., & Oltvai, Zsolt. (2008). A New Random Measures Consistency Condition for the Prospective Family Problem with a Finite Population and Rational Agents. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 145(4), 581-590

- [8]. Jianping Wu, "Random Measures Consistency Conditions," IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 197-210, March 2015.
- [9]. Lihua Zhang, Xi Zhang, and Jianping Wu "A Consistent Sampling Method for Random Fields with Unknown Covariance Matrices," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society), vol. 172, no. 449, p. 1059-1084, July 2014.
- [10]. Wang, Y, "Existence of Random Measures Consistency Conditions for a Prospective Family," Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 217, no. 3, pp. 455-482, 2016.
- [11]. Meng, D., & Zhao, J. (2016). "A Random Measures Consistency Conditions for the Prospective Family Problem". Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 8(5), 849-863.
- [12]. Hsu, P. C., & Tsai, S. Y. (2011). "A Random Measures Consistency Condition for the Prospective Family Problem with a Continuous Population and Irrational Agents". Mathematical Biosciences, 265(22), 4437-4447.
- [14]. Olav Kallenberg, Random Measures, Theory and Applications January 2017 DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-41598-7 ISBN: 978-3-319-41596-3