Study of Heavy Metal Contamination on Soil and Water in Major Vegetable Tracks of Pathanamthitta District, Kerala, India

¹,Shakhila.S.S, ²,Keshav Mohan

^{1.}*PhD* (Environmental Chemistry) Scholor, Karpagam University, Coimbatore ^{2.}Director, Institute of Land and Disaster Management, Govt of Kerala

ABSTRACT: Heavy metal contamination on soil and water causes a serious environmental problem because it does not biodegrade. It accumulates in different levels of the food chain. The aim of the present study is to assess the heavy metal contamination on soil and water in the major vegetable tracks of Pathanamthitta district, Kerala, India. The concentrations of heavy metals namely Zinc, Iron, Lead, Chromium, Copper and Cadmium were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. The concentration of heavy metals in soil from the study sites ranged from Zn (0.07-0.2mg/kg), Fe(0.2-1.4 mg/kg), Pb(0-0.5mg/kg), and Cu (0.1-1.0 mg/kg) respectively. Iron was detected in water samples (0.35-0.41 mg/kg). Water sample showed low values of BOD, COD and slightly acidic pH.

KEY WORDS: AAS, Heavy metals, Pesticide, pH, Pesticides impact assessment.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term heavy metal refers to any metallic chemical element that is toxic. Examples of heavy metals include Iron, Mercury, Cadmium, Arsenic, Copper, Chromium, Thallium, Lead etc. Of these Iron, Cobalt, Copper, Manganese, Molybdenum, and Zinc are essential elements. Other heavy metals such as Mercury, Plutonium, and Lead are toxic and their accumulation over time in the bodies of animals can cause serious health problems. Heavy metals like Vanadium, Tungsten and Cadmium though toxic may become beneficial for certain organisms under certain favourable conditions. Heavy metals are easily accumulated in human vital organs and affect human health. Long-term exposure may result in slowly progressing physical, muscular and neurological degenerative problems like Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, muscular dystrophy and multiple sclerosis.

Heavy metals affect the natural vegetation also. Plants take up heavy metals by absorbing it from contaminated soil. The safety of vegetables is very important because vegetables can take up a lot of nutrients and heavy metals in a short period(1). In some studies it was mentioned that soil contained heavy metals through pollution (2,3). It was also reported that bioavailability of contaminants in soil and vegetables were controlled by soil properties, soil metal speciation and plant species (4,5). Works by researchers (6,7,8) and (9) had shown that some common vegetables were capable of accumulating high levels of metals from soils. In addition it had also been identified that an important pathway of heavy metal contamination of vegetable crops was foliar uptake of atmospheric heavy emissions.(10,11). The present work was focused on the study of contamination of heavy metals such as Zinc, Iron, Lead, Copper and Cadmium on soil and water in major vegetable tracks of Pathanamthitta district,Kerala, India.

II. STUDY AREA

Pathanamthitta is a town and a municipality situated in central Travancore region in the state of Kerala, South India, spreading over an area of 23.50 sqkm. The town has a population of 1,197,412 (Census 2011). Pathanamthitta is landlocked district bordered by Kottayam and Idukki districts in the north, Alappuzha district in the west, Kollam district in the south and Tamil Nadu state in the east. Average annual temperature of the area ranges from 20°C to 39°C as per Indian Meteorological Department. The district experiences distinct weather conditions such as winter (December to February), summer (March to May) and the monsoon. The monsoons include south-west (June to September) and north-east (October to November). About 75 percent of the annual rainfall is received during south-west monsoon. Agriculture is the main occupation of the people. About 75% people are dependent on this sector. Rubber is the most important crop followed by vegetables such as snake gourd, brinjal, tomato, cucumber, bitter gourd, pea and plantain. The Pamba river (176 km long), the third longest river in Kerala, flowing through the study area, forms a major source of irrigation. Though farmers use farm chemicals, quite a good majority still depend on synthetic pesticides for pest management in the soil.The areas such as Naranamuzhy,Vechoochira and Ranni Panchayaths in Pathanamthitta district were considered for the present study.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The aim of the present study was to assess the heavy metal contamination in soil and water in the major vegetable tracks of Pathanamthitta district.

Questionnaire Survey : A detailed questionnaire survey was conducted in the vegetable tracks of Pathanamthitta district.to identify type, frequency of application and amount of pesticides used among farmers. Fifty selected farmers were interviewed and their responses were used to create a data base on pesticide use pattern in the vegetable tracks. Table (1).

Types of pesticides used	Frequency of application	Dosage Dose (ha)		Dose(L)	
Thimet	At the time of planting		8.3 kg ha ⁻¹		
Curacron	15-20 days interval	150ml -300 ml 100L ⁻¹	750 ml ha ⁻¹ - 1.5L ha ⁻¹	1.5-3ml L ⁻¹	
Hilban	20 - 25 days interval	150ml-300 ml 100L-1	750 ml ha- 1.5L ha ⁻¹	1.5ml -3ml L ⁻¹	
Ekalux, Hilquin	25 days interval	200 ml 100L ⁻¹	1L ha ⁻¹	2ml L ⁻¹	
Hostathion, Josh	25 days interval	200 ml 100L ⁻¹	1L ha ⁻¹	2ml L ⁻¹	
Monocil, Nuvacron	25 days interval	200-250 ml 100L ⁻¹	1L -1.25L ha ⁻¹	2ml L ⁻¹ - 2.5 ml L ⁻¹	
Metacid	15-20 days interval	200 ml 100L ⁻¹	1L ha ⁻¹	$2ml L^{-1}$	
Viraat	20 days interval	300 ml 100L ⁻¹	1.5L ha ⁻¹	3ml L ⁻¹	
Avaunt	30 days interval	30 ml 100L ⁻¹	150 ml ha ⁻¹	0.03 ml L ⁻¹	
Spark	22 days interval	75-100 ml 100L ⁻¹	0.37L ha ⁻¹	0.75-1ml L ⁻¹	
Karate	15 - 20 days interval	300 ml 100L ⁻¹	1.5L ha ⁻¹	$3 \text{ ml } \text{L}^{-1}$	
Shakti	22 days interval	300 ml 100L ⁻¹	1.5L ha ⁻¹	3 ml L ⁻¹	
Koragen	Monthly	10 ml 100L ⁻¹	50 ml ha ⁻¹	$0.01 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$	
Hilmida	22 days interval	200-300ml 100L ⁻¹	1-1.5L ha ⁻¹	2-3 ml L ⁻¹	
Titan	22 days interval	300 ml100L ⁻¹	1.5L/ha	3 ml L ⁻¹	
Spark	22 days interval	100-300 ml100L ⁻¹	500ml- 1.5Lha ⁻¹	1-3 ml L ⁻¹	
Josh	22 days interval	300 ml100L ⁻¹	1.5L ha ⁻¹	$3 \text{ ml } \text{L}^{-1}$	

TABLE. 1. Pesticide Use Pattern

Sample collection

- Soil samples were collected from five locations of Naranamuzhy, Vechoochira and Ranni Panchayaths during the month of May 2011 from each site.
- > 250 gram of soil was taken for heavy metal analysis
- Water samples[1L] were collected from selected water resources for heavy metal analysis
- > The extraction and clean up procedures were performed as per AAS method (18).

Soil analysis : Soil samples were analyzed for physico-chemical properties such as pH, electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity, texture, water holding capacity and soil nutrients. All the chemicals and reagents used were of AR grade. The soil pH was determined by pH meter with glass electrode (12), electrical conductivity by Conductivity meter (12), texture by International pipette method (13), water holding capacity by Undisturbed core sample(14) and cation exchange capacity by Ammonium acetate method (12). The soil nutrients analyzed for the study included organic carbon (12), available nitrogen by alkaline permanganate method (15), available phosphorus and potassium by spectrophotometry (12), calcium and magnesium by EDTA method (16), sulphur by turbidimetry method (17).

Extraction of heavy metals from soil : 1g of air dried, ground and sieved soil sample was taken in an Erlenmeyer flask. Then 50 ml of extracting solution (0.05N HCl and 0.025N H_2SO_4) was added to it and placed in a mechanical shaker for 15 minutes. It was then filtered through Whatman filter paper into a 50ml volumetric flask and diluted to 50 ml with extracting solution. The solution was analyzed for Zinc, Lead, Chromium, Copper and Cadmium using AAS method(18).

Water analysis : Water samples were analyzed for physico-chemical properties such as pH, BOD and COD.

Extraction of heavy metals from water : Water samples were collected using a Van Dorn type sampler from which 200 ml was filtered through a 0.45-micron filter. After acidification with concentrated HCl 100

ml was transferred into a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask. The pH was adjusted to 3.6 by adding 2ml of phthalate buffer. After adding 7ml of Diethyldithiocarbamate and 15 ml Methylisobutylketone (MIBK), the solution was transfered to a 500 ml separating funnel .The resulting solution was shaken vigorously for 30 seconds and the phases were allowed to separate. The MIBK layer was drawn off into glass stoppered test tube. The organic layer was analysed for Zinc, Lead, Chromium, Copper and Cadmium (18).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physico chemical properties of soil : The physico chemical properties of soil samples from the three locations were analyzed and presented in Table 2. It was observed that the sanples were very rich in organic carbon content and organic matter. The pH ($6.24 \sim 6.90$) of the soil sample seemed to be slightly acidic. The electrical conductivity ranged from 0.145 to 0.298 dSm⁻¹, nitrogen content varied from 386.91 to 712.46 kgha⁻¹, phosphorus ranged from 62.70 to 113.98 kgha⁻¹, potassium varied from 702.06 to 801.20 kg¹, sulphur seemed to be 19.66 to 42.06 kgha⁻¹, organic carbon content ranged from 2.21 to 2.31 %, organic matter had a range of 3.67 to 3.89, exchangable calcium varied from 1.72 to 1.78 % and exchangable magnesium was observed as 1.12 to 1.33. An average of 44% water holding capacity was detected in all soil samples.

Parameter	Naranamuzhy	Vechoochira	Ranni
pH	6.24	6.72	6.90
$EC (dSm^{-1})$	0.298	0.145	0.273
Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	386.91	684.56	712.46
Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	113.98	104.75	62.70
Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	759.80	702.06	801.20
Available S (kg ha ⁻¹)	19.66	42.06	19.88
Organic C (%)	2.31	2.30	2.21
Organic matter	3.67	3.89	3.73
Exchangeable Ca	1.76	1.72	1.78
Exchangeable Mg	1.33	1.17	1.12
Water holding capacity (%)	45.74	46.40	42.06

TABLE.2. Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil

Physico Chemical properties of water. : Water samples were analysed and results were presented in Table 3. It was found that the pH was slightly acidic

Parameter	Narnamuzhy	Vechoochira	Ranni
pН	6.85	6.7	6.28
BOD	2.0	2.1	2.13
COD	62.4	62.8	63

Monitoring of Heavy Metals in Soil : The soil samples collected from the three Panchayaths were analysed for heavy metals. Concentration of heavy metals in soil samples was given in TABLE.4.and presented in Fig 1.

TABLE.4. Concentration of Heavy Metals in Soil

Location	Zn	Fe	Pb	Cr	Cu	Cd
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Naranamuzhy	0.07	0.2	0.5	ND	ND	ND
Vechoochira	0.1	1.4	ND	ND	1.0	ND
Ranni	0.2	0.9	ND	ND	0.1	ND



Fig.1. Graphical representation of Table.4

Fig 1: Heavy metal concentration in soil

The analysis revealed that soil samples were contaminated with heavy metals such as Zinc, Iron, Lead, and Copper . Of these zinc and Iron were present in all the three samples. (0.07, 0.1, 0.2). Iron (0.2, 1.4, 0.9), Lead in first sample (0.5), Copper in second and third sample.(1.0, 0.1).

Monitoring of heavy metals in water : The concentration of heavy metals in water was shown in Table 5. and Fig 2.

Location	Zn	Fe	Pb	Cr	Cu	Cd
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Naranamuzhy	ND	0.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vechoochira	ND	0.35	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ranni	ND	0.41	ND	ND	ND	ND

TABLE.5. Concentration of Heavy Metals in Water



Fig.2 Graphical representation of Table.5

Fig 2. Concentration of heavy metals in water

When water samples were analysed for heavy metals only Iron (0.4, 0.35, 0.41) was detected and it indicated that the water bodies were not polluted due to heavy metals.

V.CONCLUSION

In this study, a detailed analysis was carried out to study the contamination level of soil, and water with heavy metals at Naranamuzhy, Vechoochira and Ranni Panchayaths. The physico-chemical analysis of the soil samples showed that the area was highly suitable for agriculture. Though heavy metals were detected ,it was well below quantification level. The water sample analysis revealed that presently the water was not contaminated with heavy metals . The reason for this was due to leaching, runoff and microbial degradation. The study therefore showed that, if the use of pesticides continued the concentration of pesticide residues in soil and water may increase which in turn increased the concentration of heavy metals and could harm the flora and fauna of the area. It was found that plants like sunflower ,and corn could absorb heavy metals from soil...So if we plant them also along with crops, the heavy metal contamination could be controlled to some extent. This raised concern and needed immediate management policies to be adopted in the study area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thanked Kerala Agricultural University and CEPC ,Kollam for allowing to carry out analysis of soil and water samples .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Y. Liu, A. Probst and B. H. Liao. Sci. Total Environ 2005, 339: 153–166
- [2] H. M. Chen, C. R Zheng, C. Tu, and Y. G. Zhu. Ambio 1999, 28: 130–134
- [3] S. C. Wong, X. D. Li, G. Zhang, S. H. Qi and Y. S. M in.Environ. Pollut 2002, 119: 33–34
- [4] B. E. Davies. Water Air Soil Poll 1992, 63 331–342
- [5] S. Ehlken, and G. Kirchner.J. Environ. Radioactive. 2002, 58: 97–112.
- [6] Garcia, W.J., Blessin, C.W., Inglett, G.E. and Kwolek, W.F. Metal Accumulation and Crop Yield for a Variety of Edible Crops Grown in Diverse Soil Media Amended with Sewage Sludge. Environmental Science and Technology. 1981, 15 (7): 793-804.

 [7] Khan, D.H. and Frankland, B.. Effects of cadmium and lead on radish plants with particular reference to movement of metals through soil profile and plant. Plant and Soil. 1983, 70:335-345.

- Xiong, Z.T. Lead Uptake and Effects on Seed Germination and Plant Growth in a PbHyperaccumulator Brassica pekinensisRupr. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 1998, 60:285-291.
- Cobb, G.P., Sands, K., Waters, M., Wixson B.G. and Darward-King, E.. Accumulation of heavy metals by garden vegetables. Journal of Environment Quality. 2000,29:934-939
- [10] .N. L.Bassuk, J. Hortic. Sci 1986, 21: 993–995.
- [11] R. Salim, M. M. Al-Subu, A.Douleh, L. Chenavier and J. Hagemeyer. J. Environ. Sci. Heal . A. 1992, 27: 1739–1758
- [12] Jackson, M.L. Soil chemical analysis: Advanced Course(2ndedition). Published by the auther, Dept of Soil Science, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 1973
- [13] Piper. ,C.S.Soil and Plant Analysis, Hani Publishers,Bombay,1966.

- [14] Black. C. A,. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part I and II, Am. Soc. Agron: Madison, Wiscosis, 1965 .
- [15] Subbiah B. V. and Asija G.L. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr.Sci. 1956, 25259-260.
- [16] Hesse, Particle size distribution in gypsicsoils, plants and soil 1971, 241-247.
- [17] Chesnin and YeinTurbidometric ditermination of avialable sulphates, SoilSci. Am.soc.Proc. ,1951, 14149-151.
- [18] R.A.Issac and J.D.Kerber, Atomic Absorption and Flame Photometry
- [19] Kannan K, Sinha RK, Tanabe S, Ichihashi H, Tatsukawa R. Heavy metals and organochlorine residues in Ganges river dolphins from India. Mar Pollut Bull. 1993,26:159-162.
- S. Khan, Q. Cao, Y. M. Zheng, Y. Z. Huang, and Y. G. Zhu, "Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops [20] irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China," Environmental Pollution, 2008, vol. 152, no. 3, pp. 686-692,
- [21]
- Lab Procedure, Soil Testing and Plant Analysis Laboratory Cooperative Extention Service, Athens GA(1970) L. H. P. Jones and S. C. Jarvis, "The fate of heavy metals," in The Chemistry of Soil Processes, D. J. Green and M. H. B. Hayes, [22] Eds., p. 593, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1981.