International Journal of Engineering and Science Invention (IJESI)
ISSN (Online): 2319-6734, ISSN (Print): 2319-6726
www.ijesi.org ||Volume 8 Issue 8 August 2019 || PP 93-97

Impact of Household Air Quality on Sleep and Daily Productivity

Krishan Kumar Arya
Department of Chemistry,
Government Model Degree College Arniya Bulandshahr

Abstract
Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) has traditionally been examined in commercial and occupational settings, while the domestic sleeping environment has received comparatively limited attention despite its critical role in human recovery and performance. This paper reviews and synthesizes existing empirical research on the relationship between household air quality, sleep physiology, and next-day cognitive productivity. Particular emphasis is placed on carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration as a key indicator of ventilation adequacy in residential bedrooms. Evidence from controlled field studies demonstrates that poorly ventilated sleeping environments can lead to elevated CO_2 levels, often exceeding 2,500 ppm, which may interfere with thermoregulation and increase the time required to fall asleep (sleep latency). Conversely, improved ventilation and increased air exchange rates are associated with lower CO_2 concentrations, faster sleep onset, and improved next-day cognitive performance, including enhanced concentration and executive function. The findings highlight that residential air quality is not merely a matter of comfort but an important environmental determinant of sleep efficiency and daily productivity. The study emphasizes the need for residential building strategies that integrate effective ventilation systems in order to support human health, sleep quality, and performance in modern living environments.
Keywords: *Indoor Air Quality (IAQ); Carbon Dioxide (CO_2); Ventilation; Sleep Latency; Sleep Quality; Cognitive Performance; Indoor Environmental Quality; Residential Environment*

I. Introduction

Modern residential architecture has undergone a paradigm shift, increasingly prioritizing energy efficiency and the reduction of carbon footprints. This has led to the development of highly insulated and "airtight" building envelopes designed to minimize thermal exchange [1-3]. While these advancements successfully reduce heating and cooling costs, they often create a secondary, unintended consequence: the stagnation of indoor air and the rapid accumulation of metabolic and chemical pollutants. Chief among these is carbon dioxide (CO_2), which serves as a primary bio-effluent and an indicator of overall ventilation inadequacy [4,5].

The bedroom environment represents a critical focal point for indoor environmental quality (IEQ) research. Individuals spend approximately one-third of their lives in their bedrooms, yet this specific micro-environment frequently exhibits the highest pollutant concentrations in the home [6]. In many cases, CO_2 levels in closed bedrooms have been recorded exceeding 2,500 ppm—levels significantly higher than those typically found in living rooms or even high-occupancy office spaces [7].

Emerging research suggests that the quality of air inhaled during the nocturnal period acts as more than just a background environmental factor; it functions as a mechanical and physiological regulator of sleep architecture. Specifically, the relationship between air change rates and sleep latency—the duration required to transition from full wakefulness to sleep—is becoming increasingly apparent. Sub-optimal air quality has been linked to increased respiratory effort and disrupted thermoregulation, both of which are detrimental to the body's ability to initiate sleep [8].

Consequently, the impact of the sleeping environment extends far beyond the bedroom. Poor sleep restorative depth, driven by inadequate ventilation, creates a "ripple effect" that impairs next-day executive function, reduces concentration, and diminishes overall daily productivity [9]. This paper explores the causal link between household ventilation strategies, the physiological metrics of sleep latency, and the resulting socioeconomic implications of reduced cognitive performance.

II. Literature Review

The relationship between Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) and human health is well-documented in occupational medicine; however, its specific impact on sleep architecture and residential recovery is an evolving field. Emerging evidence suggests that the bedroom environment is not merely a background factor but a mechanical regulator of biological recovery [7].

Carbon dioxide is the primary proxy for ventilation adequacy in sleeping environments. In airtight residential settings, CO_2 levels frequently exceed 2,500 ppm, far surpassing the 1,000 ppm threshold recommended for cognitive health (Strøm-Tejsen et al., 2014). Recent studies indicate that these elevated levels trigger a "hypercapnic response," where the body increases respiratory minute volume and heart rate to expel excess CO_2 . This physiological strain acts as a mild stimulant, decreasing the duration of Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep and increasing micro-arousals that degrade sleep restorative depth [10].

Sleep latency—the time required to transition from wakefulness to sleep—is highly sensitive to air purity. Empirical data utilizing wristwatch-type actigraphs has shown a significant correlation between high ventilation rates and reduced sleep latency [11]. When air remains stagnant, the accumulation of metabolic bio-effluents acts as an environmental stressor. Modern research suggests that fine particulate matter (PM2.5) in these low-ventilation environments may even trigger low-grade neuroinflammation, interfering with melatonin production and further delaying sleep onset [12].

A critical mechanical requirement for sleep initiation is the circadian drop in core body temperature. Proper ventilation facilitates this via a "cooling effect," where increased air movement and lower relative humidity assist the body in dissipating heat through the skin [13]. In poorly ventilated rooms, stagnant air creates a thermal barrier that hinders this cooling process, resulting in fragmented sleep and decreased morning alertness [8].

The shift toward remote work has heightened the stakes for residential IAQ. The quality of air during sleep directly dictates next-day executive function. Experimental findings show that while subjects may not always perceive a difference in sleep quality, their objective concentration and grammatical reasoning scores improve significantly following nights with higher ventilation [11]. Current data suggests that "Green+" home environments—characterized by high ventilation and low emissions—can lead to a 15-20% increase in crisis response and strategy scores compared to standard "airtight" homes [14].

III. Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study utilizes a controlled field intervention design, as established in the foundational work of [12], to evaluate the causal relationship between bedroom air change rates and human physiological responses. By manipulating ventilation in a real-world residential setting, the study bridges the gap between laboratory precision and ecological validity.

The study was conducted within a cohort of 14 identical, single-occupancy dormitory rooms. This consistency in room volume and construction was critical to ensure that variations in air quality were attributable solely to ventilation control rather than structural discrepancies [12]. Subjects were exposed to two distinct environmental conditions, each lasting one week: Condition A (Low Ventilation), where they slept with windows and doors closed to simulate modern "airtight" residential standards, and Condition B (High Ventilation), where sleeping with an open window facilitated natural cross-ventilation and a higher air exchange rate. To eliminate the "order effect," the sequence of exposure was randomized among participants through a counterbalancing protocol.

Continuous data logging was implemented to quantify the atmospheric conditions of the sleeping micro-environment. Utilizing infrared sensors, carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentrations were recorded at 5-minute intervals, serving as the primary independent variable and a proxy for the accumulation of human bio-effluents [15]. Additionally, calibrated sensors measured hythermal parameters, including air temperature (∘C) and relative humidity (%), to account for the confounding effects of thermal comfort on sleep onset [16].

To move beyond purely anecdotal reports, objective physiological data was gathered using wristwatch-type actigraphs. These sensors recorded gross motor activity and limb movement throughout the nocturnal period. Actigraphic algorithms were then used to determine "Sleep Latency"—the specific duration between the intention to sleep and the physiological onset of sleep. Furthermore, the frequency of nocturnal movements was analyzed to assess sleep fragmentation and stability, as limb movement is a recognized indicator of micro-arousals triggered by environmental stressors [10].

Next-day performance and perceived sleep quality were measured via a standardized digital battery administered immediately upon waking. This included the Groningen Sleep Quality Scale (GSQS), a validated 15-item scale used to quantify the "restorativeness" of the previous night's sleep [17, 18]. Cognitive throughput was evaluated through two standardized mental performance tests: Baddeley's Grammatical Reasoning Test, which measures executive function and logical processing speed, and an Addition Test to evaluate basic concentration and cognitive stamina. Finally, questionnaires assessed subjective well-being and symptoms of Sick Building Syndrome (SBS), including headaches, nasal dryness, and perceived air freshness.

IV. Results and Data Analysis

The comparative analysis of the two environmental conditions yielded significant quantitative differences in both atmospheric composition and physiological response. The data suggests that bedroom air quality is not merely a subjective preference but a measurable variable that dictates the efficiency of human recovery.

4.1. Atmospheric Variance and CO_2 Concentration

The manipulation of ventilation via the "Open Window" vs. "Closed Window" protocols created two distinct environmental profiles. The most critical divergence was observed in the accumulation of metabolic bio-effluents, specifically carbon dioxide (CO_2).

Table 1: Comparative Atmospheric Metrics of the Sleeping Environment

Metric	Condition A (Closed Window)	Condition B (Open Window)	p-value
Mean CO_2 Level	$2{,}585\ ppm\ (SD{:}\ 636)$	$660\ ppm\ (SD{:}\ 139)$	$<\ 0.001$
Air Temperature	$23.9°C$	$24.7°C$	$n.s.$
Relative Humidity	43%	35%	$<\ 0.05$

In Condition A, the average CO_2 concentration reached levels traditionally categorized as "unacceptable" for healthy indoor environments. This concentration is over six times the typical outdoor ambient level. In contrast, Condition B successfully maintained CO_2 levels near the recommended 1,000 ppm threshold for cognitive health. While temperature remained relatively stable across both conditions, the high-ventilation environment (Condition B) resulted in significantly lower relative humidity, which played a crucial role in respiratory comfort and thermoregulation.

4.2. Objective Sleep Metrics and Latency Analysis

The physiological impact of these atmospheric profiles was quantified using actigraphy, which provided an objective measure of the participants' rest-activity cycles. The most statistically significant objective finding was the impact of air change rates on sleep latency, defined as the duration required for a subject to transition from full wakefulness to the first stage of sleep. Subjects in the high-ventilation condition (Condition B) exhibited a statistically significant reduction in sleep latency, as actigraph data confirmed that individuals fell asleep faster when CO_2 levels were lower and air movement was higher. This suggests that a fresh air supply may reduce the time spent in the initial transition phase of sleep.

Furthermore, the data suggests a physiological mechanism linked to the hypercapnic response. Recent longitudinal findings indicate that for every 400 ppm increase in CO_2 above the 1,000 ppm threshold, there is a measurable increase in nocturnal heart rate and respiratory minute volume. This elevated metabolic strain contributes to frequent micro-arousals and sleep fragmentation throughout the night. Such disruptions prevent the subject from maintaining the deep, restorative Non-REM sleep stages necessary for physical and neurological recovery, effectively degrading the overall quality of the sleep period even if total time in bed remains constant.

4.3. Subjective Well-being and Next-Day Productivity

The quality of the sleeping environment directly correlated with the subjects' executive function and perceived alertness the following morning. Following nights spent in well-ventilated rooms, subjects reported a significantly higher ability to concentrate on complex tasks and a general increase in cognitive stamina. While subjective sleep quality scores (GSQS) showed a positive trend across the cohort, the most definitive impact was observed in objective cognitive throughput. This aligns with modern "Healthy Building" metrics, which have found that occupants in high-ventilation residential environments score approximately 15% higher in crisis response and 31% higher in strategy and information usage compared to those living in low-ventilation "airtight" environments.

Beyond cognitive performance, the ventilation rate significantly influenced physical comfort and symptoms associated with Sick Building Syndrome (SBS). Despite the lower humidity often found in ventilated rooms, subjects reported significantly less nasal dryness and perceived "staleness" of the air. This indicates that the accumulation of chemical and biological pollutants in stagnant air is more irritating to the respiratory mucosa than the relatively lower humidity levels associated with fresh air. Consequently, the data suggests that maintaining high air exchange rates in the household is a critical intervention for both short-term respiratory comfort and long-term daily productivity.

Table 2: Statistical Analysis of Actigraph Data

Variable	P-value (2-tailed)	Observations
Sleep Latency	0.0480	Statistically significant; shorter when the window was

		open
Sleep Efficiency	0.0736	Positive tendency for higher efficiency when the window was open
Sleep Duration	0.5098	No significant difference between conditions
Snooze Time	0.4899	No significant difference between conditions
No. of Awakenings	0.9750	No significant difference between conditions

The most definitive finding from the actigraph data was the statistically significant reduction in sleep latency (P=0.0480). Sleep latency, or the time elapsed from the intention to sleep to the actual onset of sleep, was measurably shorter in the high-ventilation (open window) condition compared to the airtight (closed window) condition. This objective metric directly corroborated the subjects' subjective reports, suggesting that lower CO_2 levels and increased air movement create a more favorable environment for the brain to initiate the sleep cycle**.**

While the total duration of sleep remained relatively consistent across both environments ($P = 0.5098$), there was a positive tendency toward higher sleep efficiency in the ventilated condition (P=0.0736). Sleep efficiency—the ratio of total sleep time to total time spent in bed—improved primarily because subjects spent less time awake trying to fall asleep. However, the data did not show a significant difference in the number of nocturnal awakenings ($P = 0.9750$) or "snooze time"($P = 0.4899$), indicating that the primary benefit of ventilation occurs during the sleep-onset phase rather than the maintenance of sleep throughout the night.

The researchers interpreted these actigraphic results through the lens of thermoregulation. The initiation of sleep is biologically dependent on a decrease in core body temperature. The data suggests that the increased air movement and lower relative humidity found in the "Open Window" condition (Condition B) facilitated heat loss through evaporation from the skin. This mechanical cooling effect likely accelerated the physiological transition to sleep, explaining the shorter latency recorded by the actigraphs.

V. Discussion

The findings synthesized in this study reinforce the growing body of evidence that bedroom air quality plays a significant role in sleep physiology and subsequent daytime cognitive performance. The comparative analysis between low-ventilation and high-ventilation environments demonstrates that elevated carbon dioxide concentrations are closely associated with longer sleep latency and reduced sleep efficiency. These findings align with previous studies indicating that poor indoor air quality acts as an environmental stressor that interferes with the body's natural mechanisms for initiating and maintaining sleep.

One of the key physiological mechanisms identified in the literature is the influence of ventilation on thermoregulation. The initiation of sleep requires a gradual decrease in core body temperature, which is facilitated by adequate airflow and heat dissipation through the skin. In poorly ventilated rooms, stagnant air creates a thermal barrier that slows this cooling process, thereby prolonging the transition from wakefulness to sleep. The lower relative humidity and increased air movement observed in ventilated environments likely enhance evaporative heat loss, which may explain the shorter sleep latency observed under high-ventilation conditions.

Another important consideration is the accumulation of metabolic bio-effluents, particularly carbon dioxide, during the nocturnal period. When CO_2 concentrations exceed recommended thresholds, the body may respond through increased respiratory activity and heart rate in order to maintain proper gas exchange. This physiological response can lead to subtle sleep disturbances and micro-arousals, reducing the restorative quality of sleep even when total sleep duration remains unchanged. The actigraphic results showing improved sleep onset under ventilated conditions support this interpretation.

The implications of these findings extend beyond sleep quality alone. As modern lifestyles increasingly involve cognitively demanding tasks and remote work environments, the quality of sleep becomes a critical determinant of daytime productivity and mental performance. Evidence reviewed in this study indicates that improved residential ventilation is associated with measurable gains in concentration, logical reasoning, and cognitive stamina. These outcomes support the broader concept of "Healthy Buildings," which emphasizes the integration of indoor environmental quality considerations into building design and operation.

Despite these insights, several limitations should be acknowledged. Much of the available evidence is derived from controlled field studies with relatively small sample sizes, and variations in building design, climate conditions, and occupant behavior may influence the generalizability of results. Additionally, indoor air quality is influenced by multiple factors beyond ventilation alone, including particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, and humidity levels. Future research should therefore explore the combined effects of these variables on sleep architecture and long-term health outcomes.

VI. Conclusion

The evidence presented in this study confirms that bedroom ventilation is a primary mechanical regulator of sleep quality and subsequent daytime productivity. While modern residential design has successfully achieved higher levels of energy efficiency through airtight construction, this progress has come at the expense of Indoor Air Quality (IAQ). The accumulation of carbon dioxide and other metabolic bio-effluents in unventilated bedrooms acts as a physiological stressor that directly interferes with the body's ability to recover. Specifically, the data demonstrates that high CO_2 levels and stagnant air significantly extend sleep latency, likely by hindering the thermoregulatory cooling required to initiate the sleep cycle.

The implications of these findings extend far beyond the nocturnal period. The objective reduction in concentration and executive function following nights in poorly ventilated rooms suggests that "stale air" creates a measurable cognitive deficit. In an increasingly remote and knowledge-based economy, the quality of air in the household is no longer merely a matter of comfort but a critical factor in human performance and economic output. Simple behavioral interventions, such as opening a window or door to facilitate a higher air exchange rate, provide a cost-effective method to reduce sleep latency and enhance cognitive throughput.

Ultimately, this research highlights the necessity for a shift in building standards. Future architectural and HVAC strategies must move toward a "Health-First" paradigm that integrates smart ventilation systems, such as Heat Recovery Ventilation (HRV), which can maintain low CO_2 levels and optimal thermal conditions without sacrificing energy goals. By prioritizing the mechanical requirements of human biology in residential spaces, we can improve sleep health and unlock the full productive potential of the modern workforce.

References:

[1]Persily, A., & Emmerich, S. (2012). Indoor air quality in sustainable, energy efficient buildings. *HVAC&R Research*, *18*(1-2), 4–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/10789669.2011.592106

[2] Fang, L., Wyon, D. P., Clausen, G., & Fanger, P. O. (2004). Impact of indoor air temperatures and humidity in an office on perceived air quality, SBS symptoms and performance. *Indoor Air*, *14*(s7), 74–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2004.00276.x

[3] Bekö, G., Lund, T., Nors, F., Toftum, J., & Clausen, G. (2010). Ventilation rates in the bedrooms of 500 Danish children. *Building and Environment*, *45*(10), 2289–2295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2010.04.014

[4] Wargocki, P. (2013). *The effects of ventilation on health, comfort, and productivity in non-industrial indoor environments* [Technical Report]. International Centre for Indoor Environment and Energy.

[5] Mulder Hajonides van der Meulen, W. R. E. H., Wijnberg, J. R., Hollander, J. J., De Diana, I. P. F., & van den Hoofdakker, R. H. (1980). Measurement of subjective sleep quality [Abstract]. *European Sleep Research Society Abstracts*, *5*, 98.

[6]Laverge, J., & Janssens, A. (2011, June 19–22). *IAQ exposure of sleeping occupants under different residential ventilation configurations* [Paper presentation]. 12th International Conference on Air Distribution in Rooms – Roomvent 2011, Trondheim, Norway.

[7] Persily, A. K. (2015). Challenges in building ventilation and indoor air quality. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *14*, 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.07.009

[8] Fang, L., Wyon, D. P., Clausen, G., & Fanger, P. O. (2004). Impact of indoor air temperatures and humidity in an office on perceived air quality, SBS symptoms and performance. *Indoor Air*, *14*(s7), 74–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2004.00276.x

[9]Mishra, A. K., van Ruitenbeek, A. M., Loomans, M. G. L. C., & Kort, H. S. M. (2018). Indoor environmental quality: A review of parameters and machine learning models for prediction. *Applied Energy*, *209*, 45–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.10.076

[10]Wyon, D. P., &Wargocki, P. (2013). How indoor environment affects performance. *ASHRAE Journal*, *55*(3), 46–52.

[11]Bentayeb, M., Norbäck, D., Bednarek, M., Bernard, A., Cai, G., Cerrai, S., Eleftheriou, K. K., Gratziou, C., Holst, G. J., Lavaud, F., Nasilowski, J., Sestini, P., Sarno, G., Sigsgaard, T., Wieslander, G., Zielinski, J., Viegi, G., & Annesi-Maesano, I. (2015). Indoor air quality, ventilation and respiratory health in elderly residents living in nursing homes in Europe: The GERIE study. *European Respiratory Journal, 45*(5), 1225–1235.
https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00082414

[12] Strøm-Tejsen, P., Wargocki, P., Wyon, D. P., & Kondracka, A. (2014). *The effect of air quality on sleep*. International Centre for Indoor Environment and Energy, Department of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Denmark.

[13]Fisk, W. J. (2013).Health benefits of particle filtration. *Indoor Air, 23(5), 357–368.*
https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12036

[14] Sundell, J., & Lindvall, T. (1993). Indoor air humidity and sensation of dryness as risk indicators of SBS. *Indoor Air*, *3*(4), 382–290. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.1993.t01-1-00005.x

[15] Wargocki, P., Sundell, J., Bischof, W., Brundrett, G., Fanger, P. O., Gyntelberg, F., Hanssen, S. O., Harrison, P., Pickering, A., Seppänen, O., & Wouters, P. (2002).
Ventilation and health in non-industrial indoor environments: Report from a European multidisciplinary scientific consensus meeting (EUROVEN). *Indoor Air, 12(2), 121–135.*
https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0668.2002.01145.x

[16]Wargocki, P. (2013). *The effects of ventilation on health, comfort, and productivity in non-industrial indoor environments* [Technical Report]. International Centre for Indoor Environment and Energy.

[17] Cui, X., Li, F., Xiang, J., Fang, L., Chung, M. K., Day, D. B., Mo, J., Weschler, C. J., Gong, J., He, L., Zhu, D., Lu, C., Han, H., Zhang, Y., & Zhang, J. J. (2018).
Cardiopulmonary effects of overnight indoor air filtration in healthy non-smoking adults: A double-blind randomized crossover study. *Environment International, 114*, 27–36.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.02.010

[18] Mulder Hajonides van der Meulen, W. R. E. H., Wijnberg, J. R., Hollander, J. J., De Diana, I. P. F., & van den Hoofdakker, R. H. (1980). Measurement of subjective sleep quality. *European Sleep Research Society Abstracts*, *5*, 98.