International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI)
ISSN (Online): 2319-6734, ISSN (Print): 2319-6726
www.ijesi.org ||Volume 9 Issue 3 Series. I || Mar.2020 || PP 91-93

Study of the Implications of Evolution in Agriculture

Ram Kumar Singh
Dept. of Ag. Botany R.S.M. (PG) College,
Dhampur, Bijnor

Abstract
Since agriculture's inception thousands of years ago, it has served as a catalyst for evolutionary change. This transformation now permeates agricultural endeavours at all levels of biological organisation, from the individual gene to entire communities. Thus, agro-ecosystems offer one of the clearest illustrations of circumstances in which anthropogenic influences are key drivers of biotic interactions within and among species and groups, pointing to a crucial role for the application of evolutionary principles. All living things are impacted by evolutionary processes, and this includes agricultural methods. In a society that is changing quickly, understanding evolutionary results and harnessing such processes may be very useful for enhancing current methods and creating new solutions.

Keywords- *Agricultural practices, agro ecosystems, anthropogenic etc.*

I. Introduction

As agriculture advanced, the field and paddock environment diverged more and more from the natural habitats where plants and animals first appeared on the planet. The appearance and nutritional value of plants and animals have undergone considerable modifications during the past few thousand years as a result of domestication, selection, and hybridization, both unintentional and intentional. Agronomic and animal husbandry practises, as well as changes in the types of crops and livestock raised, have all had an impact on the balance and strength of various selecting pressures throughout the history of agriculture. This has been especially evident in plants, where rising nutrient status—particularly nitrogen—generally favours the growth and development of insect and fungal pests, whose populations are frequently then made worse by rising plant density (which raises humidity and, in turn, creates favourable conditions for the germination of fungal spores and lessens inoculum loss during transmission) and genetic uniformity of crops. The emphasis progressively centred on collecting single key gene features because these could be more easily controlled when human selection switched to a conscious grasp of genetics. Subject to the whims of fashion, human selection has been a primary driver behind the kinds of changes described above. However, it has frequently tended to be extremely directed, favouring increasingly severe expressions of the traits in question. These methods have frequently sparked the biological equivalent of a "arms race" between people and these pests and pathogens that are nature's foes to our crops.

Importantly, interactions between disease-causing organisms and our crops and animals are characterised by far more dynamic and unpredictable reciprocal evolutionary change. This is in contrast to many other evolutionary challenges in agro-ecosystems. This reciprocal process actually advanced so quickly and predictably that it earned the moniker "man-guided evolution of the rusts" [1].

Evolutionary processes integrated within agriculture

Evolution is the process of heritable features gradually changing over time. These features entail genetic and phenotypic modifications to species attributes. Therefore, physiological, morphological, and other fitness-related variables are involved in evolutionary changes. Such changes in crop or animal species have a direct impact on agricultural methods since trait alterations can alter a species' productivity, resilience, and sustainability. The evolution of all living things, including those with agricultural value, is influenced by a variety of processes, such as methods of natural selection, genetic drift, gene mutation, assortative mating, and stochastic impacts.

For instance, the origin, evolution, and agricultural significance of amphicarpy were explored in a review [2] published in May 2020. The review also showed how producing amphicarpic legumes strategically in areas with erratic food supply, like the tropics, may have economic benefits. Amphicarpic species can produce large yields, as well as enhance pastures, stop soil erosion, and boost soil fertility to support the growth of new crops. Therefore, utilising characteristics like amphicarpy could lead to increased food security.

To increase production, agricultural operations incorporate evolutionary mechanisms. However, anthropogenic modifications in farmed animals can impact evolutionary processes since methods like crop modification,

artificial selection during breeding, and growth-promoting drugs can affect life-history features, population dynamics, and a species' genetic make-up.

Evolution in practice within agriculture

The idea of evolutionary history is crucial because it affects the viability of species that are taken into account in agriculture. Particularly, the long-term sustainability and output of crops and livestock can be impacted by the origin, geographic distribution, and genetic diversity of species. This was proven in a study published in 2018 [3] by a group of international researchers in the journal Genome Biology and Evolution. Researchers examined the genomic datasets of seven different domesticated species and discovered that all but one of them had much less genetic variety and more changes in nonsynonymous amino acids.

This decline was thought to be caused by population bottlenecks, which altered the genetic makeup of the species. The individual fitness of the crops under consideration may decrease as a result of this accumulation of harmful variations. As a result, understanding the evolutionary consequences of gene dynamics is essential to recognising and anticipating potentially damaging changes at the species level. This is important because modern agriculture depends on a small number of crop varieties.

The effect of agricultural practices on evolution

Agriculture allows us to alter the properties of evolutionary mechanisms themselves. For instance, the cross-hybridization of crops and the breeding of livestock will create speciation barriers in otherwise distantly related species, which may expedite the processes of artificial selection between species. The exact nature of the alterations brought about by agriculture is still up for debate. How domestication and farming of species may quicken evolutionary development is a significant illustration. This was thought to happen in all domesticated animals because people selectively breed individuals based on phenotypic variations, changing a species' morphology, physiology, or genomic composition far more quickly than it would in the wild.

A 2018 [4] study comparing the evolutionary rates of natural and domesticated breeds of dogs and pigs revealed that populations had similar rates of changes in skull shape despite the interference of artificial selection in domesticated populations, dispelling the popular belief that evolution rates increase with domestication.

Agriculture has unanticipated effects on evolutionary processes. Recent research on the breakdown of plant-microbial symbiosis caused by evolutionary trade-offs, genetic costs, and slack selection in domesticated crops provided evidence for this. American researchers analysed the body of knowledge on the degree to which domestication might alter symbiotic interactions and created predicted evolutionary models to assess the significance of alternative theories, which were published in May 2020. According to the model and the body of research, it was discovered that the plant traits that control symbiosis are first disrupted by artificial selection, then by the accumulation of harmful mutations brought about by breeding, and finally by the neutral selection of the target trait under agricultural conditions. Such impacts have extensive effects on crops, which frequently exhibit a variety of symbiotic relationships.

Utilising the mechanisms of evolution for agricultural purposes

Agricultural methods are significantly shaped by evolutionary processes. Understanding such processes also offers crucial knowledge for establishing both new and improved approaches. In a recent study, six populations of cattle were analysed for aspects of regional gene flow and genetic drift, revealing areas of migration margins and source populations and revealing different phylogenetic relationships [5]. The characteristics of cattle's lifespan, genetic make-up, and history can therefore be utilised to guide policies and improve the efficacy of agricultural methods.

Utilizing molecular methods to get into evolutionary processes has proven particularly useful and is gaining popularity. With recent breakthroughs in the inheritance of CRISPR-based modifications revealing the significance of evolutionary mechanisms in advancing agricultural science, gene editing tools in particular have propelled evolutionary dynamics to the fore of food and agricultural science.

II. Conclusions

Therefore, agriculture is a source of various selective factors, and modern agriculture, including its species and methods, is the result of an ongoing process of change that has drastically altered all agro-ecosystem components physically and genetically. The general growth and administration of agriculture will consequently increasingly depend on the understanding and use of ecological and evolutionary concepts, especially in light of climate change and the need for increased sustainability. We are aware of the evolutionary outcomes, and harnessing such processes may be very useful for enhancing current procedures and creating fresh tactics in a world that is changing quickly.

References

[1]. Johnson T. Man-guided evolution in plant rusts. Science, 357–362(1961).
[2]. Zhang, K., Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C., Cheplick, G. P., Yang, X., & Huang, Z. Amphicarpic plants: definition, ecology, geographic distribution, systematics, life history, evolution and use in agriculture. Biological Reviews, 95(5), 1442–1466
[3]. Makino, T., Rubin, C.-J., Carneiro, M., Axelsson, E., Andersson, L., & Webster, M. T. Elevated Proportions of Deleterious Genetic Variation in Domestic Animals and Plants. Genome Biology and Evolution, 10(1), 276–290
[4]. Geiger, M., & Sánchez-Villagra, M. R. ,Similar rates of morphological evolution in domesticated and wild pigs and dogs. Frontiers in Zoology, 15(1)
[5]. Lehocká, K. Assessment of genetic drift and migration in six cattle breeds. Acta Fytotechnica et Zootechnica, 23(Monothematic Issue), 46–51
[6]. Singh S and Pawar IS. Theory and Application of Biometrical Genetics. India: CBS Publishers; 2005.
[7]. Anderson E. A semigraphical method for the analysis of complex problems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1957;43(10):923–927.
[8]. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proc Nat Inst Sci India B*. 1936;2(1):49–55.
[9]. Aremu CO, Adebayo MA, Ariyo OJ, et al. Classification of genetic diversity and choice of parents for hydridization in cowpea vigna unguiculata (L) walip for humid savanna ecology. *African J of Biotech*. 2007;6(20):2333–2339.
[10]. Schoenberg IJ. Remarks to Maurice Frchet's article "Sur la dfinition axiomatique d'une elasse d'espaces distancibs vectoriellement applicable sur l'espace de Hilbert." *Ann Math*. 1935;38(3):724–732.
[11]. Bartlett MS. Further aspects of the theory of multiple regression. *Proc Camb Phil Soc*. 1938;34(1):33–40.
[12]. Bartlett MS. Multivariate analysis. *J Roy Statistics Soc B*. 1947;9:170–197.
[13]. Hotelling H. The Most predictable criterion. *J Educational Psychology*. 1935;26:139–142.
[14]. Hotelling H. Simplified calculation of Principal Components. *Psychometrical*. 1936;1(1):27–35.
[15]. Seal HL. *Mutivariate Statistical Analysis for Biologists*. London: Methuen and Co. Ltd; 1964;148(3676):1455.